

---

## Contents

---

<b>Foreword . . . . .</b>	<b>xii</b>
Laurent COSNEFROY	
<b>Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Part 1. Metacognition and Writing: Theoretical Insights . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Introduction to Part 1 . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Chapter 1. Better Understanding of Metacognition and Self-regulation of Learning . . . . .</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1. Two theoretical perspectives . . . . .	5
1.2. On the contributions of a cognitive-centered approach to metacognition. . . . .	6
1.3. Metacognition seen from a sociocognitive perspective. . . . .	7
1.4. Conclusion . . . . .	8
<b>Chapter 2. Knowledge about Oneself, Knowledge about One's Strategies of Learning . . . . .</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1. Knowing more about metacognitive knowledge . . . . .	11
2.1.1. Definition, function and links to related concepts . . . . .	11
2.1.2. Categorization of metacognitive knowledge related to writing . . . . .	13
2.2. The development of metacognitive knowledge . . . . .	14
2.2.1. Stages and characteristics of its evolution . . . . .	14
2.2.2. Influence of individual and contextual factors . . . . .	15
2.3. Review of research on the links between metacognitive knowledge and success in learning . . . . .	17
2.4. Conclusion . . . . .	19

<b>Chapter 3. Self-regulation in Written Production . . . . .</b>	21
3.1. Self-regulation of writing: mapping processes and understanding their dynamics . . . . .	21
3.1.1. Planning, drafting and revision . . . . .	22
3.1.2. Control of writing . . . . .	25
3.1.3. A broader vision of the management of writing processes . . . . .	26
3.2. Profiles of self-regulation of writing . . . . .	28
3.3. Links between self-regulation and performance . . . . .	30
3.4. Conclusion . . . . .	33
<b>Chapter 4. Measuring the Metacognitive Dimensions of Writing . . . . .</b>	35
4.1. A plurality of methodological approaches for the study of metacognition . . . . .	36
4.2. Construction and validation of two questionnaires to measure metacognitive processes in writing . . . . .	37
4.2.1. Target audience . . . . .	37
4.2.2. Metacognitive dimensions measured . . . . .	37
4.2.3. Validation procedure . . . . .	38
4.2.4. Contribution of these questionnaires compared to existing instruments . . . . .	39
4.3. Understanding effective writing practices: observation protocol for self-regulation of writing . . . . .	40
4.3.1. Target audience . . . . .	40
4.3.2. Data collection and processing procedure . . . . .	40
4.4. Methodological challenges of the necessary triangulation of data . . . . .	42
4.5. Conclusion . . . . .	43
<b>Conclusion to Part 1 . . . . .</b>	45
<b>Part 2. Metacognitive Dimensions and Writing: From the Study of Links to the Analysis of Effects . . . . .</b>	47
<b>Introduction to Part 2 . . . . .</b>	49
<b>Chapter 5. Interactions and Determinants . . . . .</b>	51
5.1. Studying the links between metacognition and success . . . . .	51
5.1.1. Research by questionnaire . . . . .	51
5.1.2. Research based on observation of processes . . . . .	56
5.1.3. Integrative analysis of the results . . . . .	58
5.2. Seeking differences in ways of managing the constraints of academic writing . . . . .	61
5.2.1. Methodological approach . . . . .	61
5.2.2. Main results . . . . .	62

---

5.2.3. Analyses . . . . .	62
5.3. Determinants of metacognition among students . . . . .	63
5.3.1. Methodological approach . . . . .	63
5.3.2. Main results . . . . .	64
5.3.3. Analyses . . . . .	65
5.4. Overall conclusion . . . . .	68
<b>Chapter 6. On the Characteristics and Benefits of Teaching Tools Targeting Writing Processes and Metacognition . . . . .</b>	69
6.1. The principles of Self-Regulated Strategy Development (SRSD) . . . . .	70
6.2. On the effects of teaching writing strategies among students . . . . .	72
6.3. Teaching writing in higher education from a perspective based on cognitive processes . . . . .	75
6.3.1. On the role of metacognitive dimensions . . . . .	76
6.3.2. On the sociocultural context of programs for teaching writing . . . . .	79
6.3.3. Effects and limitations of teaching based on writing processes in higher education . . . . .	80
6.4. Conclusion . . . . .	81
<b>Chapter 7. Study of the Contributions of the SRSD Procedure in Higher Education . . . . .</b>	83
7.1. Objectives, context and principles of the intervention . . . . .	83
7.2. Research methodology and phases of the didactic experiment . . . . .	85
7.2.1. Group of participants . . . . .	85
7.2.2. Performance assessment criteria . . . . .	85
7.2.3. Procedure . . . . .	85
7.3. Main results and discussion . . . . .	86
7.3.1. Analysis of participant needs . . . . .	86
7.3.2. Implementation of differentiated support and observation of progress . . . . .	87
7.3.3. What contribution does the training program make to academic performance and quality of writing? . . . . .	88
7.3.4. Review of the contributions and limits of the experiment . . . . .	89
<b>Conclusion to Part 2 . . . . .</b>	93
<b>Part 3. Conceptions and Approaches for Teaching and Assessing Academic Writing . . . . .</b>	95
<b>Introduction to Part 3 . . . . .</b>	97
<b>Chapter 8. Theoretical Insights . . . . .</b>	99
8.1. Defining teachers' conceptions and other related terms . . . . .	99

8.2. Understanding the conceptions of higher education teachers . . . . .	100
8.3. Conclusion . . . . .	102
<b>Chapter 9. Characterizing the Conceptions and Teaching Approaches of Higher Education Teachers . . . . .</b>	105
9.1. Conceptions and approaches to teaching writing: describing their content and their interactions . . . . .	105
9.2. Variations in conceptions and approaches to teaching in higher education . . . . .	108
9.3. Conclusion . . . . .	111
<b>Chapter 10. Three Studies to Better Understand the Teaching of Writing . . . . .</b>	113
10.1. Analysis of the conceptions and pedagogical approaches of psychology teachers: a qualitative study . . . . .	113
10.1.1. Two different conceptions depending on consideration of the components of writing . . . . .	114
10.1.2. Towards the characterization of pedagogical approaches . . . . .	118
10.1.3. Comparing these data with the existing literature. . . . .	119
10.2. Analysis of pedagogical approaches in the field of health . . . . .	122
10.2.1. Methodological approach . . . . .	122
10.2.2. Characterization of approaches. . . . .	123
10.2.3. What are the differences between the profiles? Links with individual and contextual factors . . . . .	126
10.3. Pedagogical approaches across different academic disciplines. . . . .	129
10.3.1. Methodological approach . . . . .	129
10.3.2. Identification of profiles . . . . .	130
10.3.3. Analysis of differences between profiles: influence of individual and contextual factors . . . . .	131
10.4. Summing up: a development and some resistance. . . . .	133
10.5. Conclusion . . . . .	134
<b>Conclusion to Part 3 . . . . .</b>	137
<b>General Conclusion . . . . .</b>	139
<b>Part 4. Appendices . . . . .</b>	155
<b>Appendix 1 . . . . .</b>	157
<b>Appendix 2 . . . . .</b>	159
<b>Appendix 3 . . . . .</b>	161

---

Contents ix

---

<b>Appendix 4.</b>	163
<b>Appendix 5.</b>	165
<b>References.</b>	167
<b>Index of Names.</b>	187
<b>Index of Ideas.</b>	191