

---

## Contents

---

<b>Foreword . . . . .</b>	xiii
Denis PIVETEAU	
<b>Introduction . . . . .</b>	xvii
Corinne GRENIER, Elizabeth FRANKLIN-JOHNSON	
and Giovany CAJAIBA-SANTANA	
I.1. Inclusion: challenging a social promise . . . . .	xviii
I.2. Examining the inclusive ideal: three points of entry . . . . .	xx
I.3. API Territories Chair: promoting enabling and empowering pathways . . . . .	xxi
I.4. References . . . . .	xxii
<b>Part 1. Foundations for a More Inclusive Society . . . . .</b>	1
<b>Introduction to Part 1 . . . . .</b>	3
Corinne GRENIER, Elizabeth FRANKLIN-JOHNSON	
and Giovany CAJAIBA-SANTANA	
<b>Chapter 1. Deinstitutionalization at the United Nations Versus the French Disability Sector . . . . .</b>	5
Estelle ARAGONA, Nicolas GUILHOT, Guillaume JAUBERT, Jean-Baptiste CAPGRAS and Guillaume ROUSSET	
1.1. Introduction . . . . .	5
1.2. Legal context . . . . .	7
1.2.1. Institutionalization under the scrutiny of international organizations . . . . .	7
1.2.2. The question of legal scope . . . . .	8
1.2.3. The disability sector in France: protection versus segregation . . . . .	8
1.3. The blind spots of deinstitutionalization . . . . .	9
1.3.1. Blind spot No. 1: understanding institutions . . . . .	10

1.3.2. Blind spot No. 2: the cost of the freedom to choose . . . . .	11
1.3.3. Blind spot No. 3: is the community model compatible with French universalism? . . . . .	12
1.3.4. Blind spot No. 4: the ordinary environment – inclusive or exclusionary? . . . . .	12
1.4. Conclusion . . . . .	13
1.5. References . . . . .	16
<b>Chapter 2. Deinstitutionalization: A Threshold-Based Perspective . . . . .</b>	19
Roland JANVIER	
2.1. Introduction . . . . .	19
2.2. Inclusion and deinstitutionalization . . . . .	21
2.2.1. The conceptual bias at the core of “inclusion” . . . . .	21
2.2.2. A binary paradigm . . . . .	22
2.2.3. A split view of deinstitutionalization . . . . .	22
2.2.4. Challenges of delimitation . . . . .	23
2.2.5. A methodological impasse . . . . .	24
2.3. An alternative framework for analyzing organizations . . . . .	25
2.3.1. Changing the interpretative framework: from a stock-based to a flow-based approach . . . . .	25
2.3.2. Changing the interpretative framework: from the center to the thresholds of organizations . . . . .	27
2.3.3. Ecological and ecosystemic approaches to organizations . . . . .	27
2.4. Conclusion: inclusion via thresholds? . . . . .	29
2.4.1. Norm hybridization . . . . .	29
2.4.2. The polysemy of organizations . . . . .	30
2.4.3. From rationality to complexity. . . . .	31
2.5. References . . . . .	32
<b>Chapter 3. Living Independently and Institutions . . . . .</b>	35
Loïc ANDRIEN, Arnaud BÉAL, Chantal BRUNO, Jean-Philippe COBBAUT, Dominique COSNIER, Etienne CREUSEVAUT, Souad CREUSEVAUT, Christophe DUPONT, Benoît EYRAUD, Marika LEFKI and Céline LETAILLEUR.	
3.1. Introduction . . . . .	35
3.2. Experiences of autonomy and institutionalization . . . . .	38
3.2.1. Accounts of domination and loss of autonomy in institutions . . . . .	38
3.2.2. Not giving up on autonomy . . . . .	40
3.2.3. Autonomy and emancipation in institutional care . . . . .	41
3.3. Discussion . . . . .	43
3.3.1. Instituting Living Independently. . . . .	43
3.3.2. Autonomy as an instituting force . . . . .	44

---

3.4. Conclusion . . . . .	46
3.5. References . . . . .	46
<b>Chapter 4. Managing Social Impact in Innovative Healthcare Organizations . . . . .</b>	<b>49</b>
Elise LECLERC and Thierry SIBIEUDE	
4.1. Introduction . . . . .	49
4.2. The need for social impact assessment in the health sector . . . . .	50
4.2.1. Social innovation in the health sector . . . . .	50
4.2.2. Assessing social impact in the health sector . . . . .	52
4.3. Three conditions for using social impact assessment as a strategic management tool in healthcare innovation . . . . .	54
4.3.1. Condition no. 1: initiate social impact assessment as early as possible (preferably in the design phase) . . . . .	56
4.3.2. Condition no. 2: implement a change-management plan . . . . .	57
4.3.3. Condition no. 3: operationalize a management tool and evaluate impact for maximization . . . . .	58
4.4. Conclusion . . . . .	60
4.5. References . . . . .	61
<b>Part 2. Support for Inclusion . . . . .</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>Introduction to Part 2 . . . . .</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>Chapter 5. Reintegrating Children with Disabilities into Schools . . . . .</b>	<b>69</b>
Ophélie KARTI and Thierry CÔME	
5.1. Introduction . . . . .	69
5.2. Theoretical framework . . . . .	71
5.2.1. Defining inclusion . . . . .	71
5.2.2. From inclusive schooling to “inclusive schools” . . . . .	71
5.2.3. ESMS choice for modulated inclusion . . . . .	72
5.3. Methodology and case study . . . . .	73
5.4. Results . . . . .	74
5.4.1. Organizational shifts: from isolation to a commitment to comprehensive inclusion . . . . .	74
5.4.2. Regulating inclusive schooling: institutionalization and deinstitutionalization . . . . .	77
5.4.3. Synthesis of results: discursive decision-making . . . . .	82
5.5. Discussion . . . . .	83
5.6. Conclusion . . . . .	84
5.7. Glossary . . . . .	84
5.8. References . . . . .	85

<b>Chapter 6. Climate for Inclusion in the Workplace . . . . .</b>	<b>87</b>
Elizabeth FRANKLIN-JOHNSON and Katia RICHOMME-HUET	
6.1. Introduction . . . . .	87
6.2. Theoretical framework: workplace inclusion . . . . .	88
6.2.1. Workforce diversity. . . . .	89
6.2.2. Inclusion in the workplace . . . . .	89
6.2.3. From managing workplace diversity to climate for inclusion. . . . .	90
6.3. Presentation of the study . . . . .	91
6.4. Results . . . . .	92
6.4.1. Fair employment practices . . . . .	92
6.4.2. Integrating individual differences . . . . .	94
6.4.3. Including workers in decision-making . . . . .	97
6.5. Conclusion . . . . .	99
6.6. References . . . . .	100
<b>Chapter 7. Evolving Modalities of Support and Assistance: The Case of Peer Support . . . . .</b>	<b>103</b>
Maud NEZAN and Myriam LE GOFF-PRONOST	
7.1. Introduction . . . . .	103
7.2. The evolution of peer support from an ancient form of caregiving . . . . .	104
7.3. Methodology . . . . .	105
7.4. The challenges of peer support as a new mode of assistance . . . . .	107
7.4.1. Benefits of peer support for people with disabilities and professionals. . . . .	107
7.4.2. Knowledge, recognition and mutual power issues . . . . .	108
7.4.3. Perceptions and responses to peer support . . . . .	110
7.4.4. The need for accessible training and paid employment . . . . .	112
7.5. Conclusion . . . . .	114
7.6. References . . . . .	114
<b>Chapter 8. The Rights of Persons with Disabilities in Primary Care . . . . .</b>	<b>117</b>
Valérie SIRANYAN and Mai-Anh NGO	
8.1. Developing a Healthcare Democracy for equal opportunity . . . . .	117
8.2. Part 1: patients' and persons with disabilities' needs of healthcare actors . . . . .	119
8.2.1. Recognizing and acknowledging lived experience. . . . .	120
8.2.2. Optimizing user independence through adapted care . . . . .	121

---

8.3. Part 2: the practices of primary care providers . . . . .	122
8.3.1. Challenging conventional practices . . . . .	122
8.3.2. Tools for transforming attitudes and structures in healthcare to meet disabled individuals' needs . . . . .	125
8.4. References . . . . .	127
Legal and regulatory instruments . . . . .	128
<b>Chapter 9. Shared Housing: The Simon de Cyrène Association . . . . .</b>	131
Corinne GRENIER	
9.1. Introduction . . . . .	131
9.2. Research methodology . . . . .	132
9.3. Context: inclusive housing in France and the SdC model . . . . .	132
9.3.1. SdC shared housing . . . . .	132
9.3.2. The emergence of a new regulatory category: inclusive housing . . . . .	135
9.4. The first SdC shared housing project: an entrepreneurial initiative with institutional scope . . . . .	136
9.4.1. Collective institutional entrepreneurship (CIE) . . . . .	136
9.4.2. The first SdC shared housing project at Vanves: creating a new institution . . . . .	137
9.4.3. Lessons . . . . .	139
9.5. The SdC Federation and spin-off projects . . . . .	140
9.5.1. The meta-organizational model . . . . .	140
9.5.2. The SdC Federation . . . . .	141
9.5.3. Lessons . . . . .	143
9.6. Conclusion: inventing “our second wave” . . . . .	144
9.7. References . . . . .	145
<b>Part 3. Engaging Territories for Inclusion . . . . .</b>	147
<b>Introduction to Part 3 . . . . .</b>	149
<b>Chapter 10. Aging in Place: MaillAGE Living Lab Case Study . . . . .</b>	151
Isabelle MARCHAND, Olivier LAAU-LAURIN and Jacob CADIEUX	
10.1. Introduction . . . . .	151
10.2. Framework . . . . .	152
10.2.1. “Aging in place” and theoretical considerations . . . . .	152
10.2.2. MaillAGE living lab methodology . . . . .	153
10.3. Strategies supporting target communities’ participation in the living lab ecosystem . . . . .	155
10.3.1. Senior participants’ contributions to the MaillAGE living lab and processes of co-construction . . . . .	156

---

x Inclusive Society for Dependent or Disabled People

---

10.4. Promoting older adults' participation: implications for the MaillAGE living lab's operations and stakeholders . . . . .	158
10.4.1. Power dynamics . . . . .	158
10.4.2. Articulating the different temporalities and positions of research and action . . . . .	160
10.5. Conclusion . . . . .	161
10.6. References . . . . .	161
<b>Chapter 11. The Fight Against Loneliness: Social Experiments and Innovations . . . . .</b>	<b>165</b>
Roland RIZOULIÈRES, Stéphanie GENTILE, Felicia FERRERA BIBAS and Maxime MERY	
11.1. Introduction . . . . .	165
11.2. Is living in our “natural” home a tenable model? . . . . .	168
11.2.1. The cost of personal and digital health services. . . . .	168
11.2.2. Adapted housing. . . . .	169
11.3. Tackling aging and frailties through intergenerational living. . . . .	170
11.3.1. An interim model: intergenerational housing/co-housing . . . . .	170
11.3.2. A promising model: intergenerational “neighborhoods” . . . . .	174
11.4. Conclusion . . . . .	175
11.5. References . . . . .	176
<b>Chapter 12. Cross-Sector Collaboration in Healthcare: A New Paradigm for a Preventative and Inclusive Approach . . . . .</b>	<b>179</b>
Pauline FAIVRE	
12.1. Introduction . . . . .	179
12.1.1. Challenges of Quebec’s aging population . . . . .	180
12.1.2. The Fondation AGES . . . . .	181
12.2. Theoretical framework . . . . .	182
12.2.1. Cross-sector partnerships and collaboration . . . . .	182
12.2.2. Cross-sector partnerships for systemic change . . . . .	182
12.3. Case study of a social geriatrics program: the Fondation AGES . . . . .	185
12.3.1. Mechanisms and components of the social geriatrics program . . . . .	188
12.4. The importance of the social mission for a preventative approach to healthcare . . . . .	189
12.4.1. Types of created value . . . . .	190
12.5. Conclusion . . . . .	191
12.6. Appendix: example social geriatrics detection tool . . . . .	192
12.7. References . . . . .	193

---

<b>Chapter 13. Co-Designing a Web Platform for Older Adults' Social Participation in Quebec . . . . .</b>	<b>195</b>
Valérie POULIN, Mélanie LEVASSEUR, Marie-Michèle LORD, Hélène CARBONNEAU and Rébecca GAUDET	
13.1. Context . . . . .	195
13.2. Theoretical framework. . . . .	196
13.3. Method: action research . . . . .	198
13.3.1. Steps 1 and 2: define the current situation and that desired by stakeholders to support the sharing and implementation of promising social participation initiatives. . . . .	198
13.3.2. Step 3: formulate and implement a plan for the co-design of the collaborative platform on social participation initiatives . . . . .	199
13.4. Results. . . . .	201
13.4.1. Platform objectives, functionalities and content . . . . .	201
13.4.2. Information, interface, and navigation design and aesthetic considerations . . . . .	203
13.5. Discussion. . . . .	204
13.5.1. Reflecting on the action research and co-design processes . . . . .	204
13.6. Conclusion . . . . .	206
13.7. Acknowledgments . . . . .	207
13.8. References . . . . .	208
<b>List of Authors . . . . .</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>Index. . . . .</b>	<b>215</b>