

---

## Contents

---

<b>Foreword</b> . . . . .	ix
André MARIOTTI	
<b>Part 1. Local and Global</b> . . . . .	1
<b>Chapter 1. Effective Management of Agricultural Soils: A Challenge for Society</b> . . . . .	3
Cécile CLAVEIROLE and Agnès COURTOUX	
1.1. Introduction. . . . .	3
1.2. Findings and issues . . . . .	4
1.2.1. Ecosystem services created by the soil . . . . .	4
1.2.2. The current major issues. . . . .	5
1.3. Recommendations of the French Economic, Social and Environmental Council. . . . .	6
1.3.1. Developing soil knowledge tools. . . . .	7
1.3.2. Protecting the land and using land planning as a preservation tool . . . . .	8
1.3.3. Supporting agricultural practices that promote good soil biological quality . . . . .	9
1.3.4. Raising awareness about soil-related issues . . . . .	11
1.4. Conclusion . . . . .	12
1.5. Bibliography . . . . .	12

<b>Chapter 2. A New “Great Game” over the World’s Arable Land?</b> . . . . .	13
Alain KARSENTY	
2.1. Introduction. . . . .	13
2.2. The dynamic compound that is “land grabbing” . . . . .	15
2.2.1. The powers behind the phenomenon . . . . .	15
2.2.2. Quantitative estimates revised downward . . . . .	16
2.2.3. A predominance of food production partly due to oil palm . . . . .	18
2.2.4. Host countries and the origin of investors . . . . .	19
2.2.5. The nature of investors. . . . .	21
2.2.6. Land-use changes. . . . .	22
2.2.7. The consequences for agricultural structures. . . . .	24
2.3. Does the grabbing model have a future? . . . . .	26
2.3.1. Local resistance pushes for proposals for contract farming . . . . .	26
2.3.2. Possible mutual gains? . . . . .	28
2.3.3. Multilateral efforts to introduce a soft law . . . . .	30
2.3.4. The role of public policies. . . . .	31
2.4. Conclusion . . . . .	32
2.5. Bibliography . . . . .	34
<b>Part 2. Different Forms of Sustainable Management</b> . . . . .	39
<b>Chapter 3. The Soil: A Strange Legal Notion</b> . . . . .	41
Maylis DESROUSSEAUX	
3.1. Introduction. . . . .	41
3.2. The potential of law in the regulation of soil usage . . . . .	44
3.2.1. The variability in the law’s understanding of soil . . . . .	44
3.2.2. The lack of ecological soil governance . . . . .	47
3.3. The necessary evolution of the legal status of soil . . . . .	49
3.3.1. The protection of soil habitats recognized by law for the recovery of biodiversity . . . . .	49
3.3.2. The acknowledgment of the soil as part of our common heritage . . . . .	51
3.4. Conclusion . . . . .	53
3.5. Bibliography . . . . .	53

<b>Chapter 4. Where is Soil in the Design and Management of Sustainable Farming Systems? The View of an Agronomist</b> . . . . .	57
François LAURENT	
4.1. Introduction. . . . .	57
4.2. The soil of the agronomist: a field of diversity . . . . .	58
4.3. Soil and fertility: relationships to revisit and the need for operational knowledge . . . . .	59
4.4. Agroecology and global issues: emerging needs . . . . .	66
4.5. Conclusion . . . . .	70
4.6. Acknowledgement. . . . .	70
4.7. Bibliography . . . . .	71
<b>Part 3. Territorial Approaches</b> . . . . .	75
<b>Chapter 5. Common Governance of Soil Quality, Complex and Multi-player Dynamics</b> . . . . .	77
Didier CHRISTIN and Guillaume DHÉRISSARD	
5.1. Introduction. . . . .	77
5.2. Return to some territorial experiments . . . . .	78
5.2.1. Presentation of three cases in France, the Netherlands and Belgium . . . . .	79
5.2.2. Key teachings from the viewpoint of soil governance . . . . .	83
5.3. Learning about soil management in terms of common management. . . . .	84
5.3.1. Soil management, a complex and multi-player issue. . . . .	84
5.3.2. The total quality of the soils . . . . .	85
5.3.3. Common management . . . . .	87
5.4. Conclusion . . . . .	90
5.5. Bibliography . . . . .	91
<b>Chapter 6. Moving Discussions Toward Co-diagnostics: Progressive Approaches</b> . . . . .	93
Christine KING	
6.1. Introduction. . . . .	93
6.2. Scientists' proposals. . . . .	94
6.2.1. Clarifying, objectifying and representing the organization and soil quality . . . . .	94

6.2.2. Increasing knowledge and awareness of the processes involved and the provided ecosystem services . . . . .	97
6.2.3. Increasing trust in models and in projections. . . . .	103
6.2.4. A better policy for raising awareness and transferring knowledge . . . . .	105
6.2.5. Organizing the monitoring of scientific evidence . . . . .	106
6.2.6. Developing and conducting a proper monitoring system. . . . .	108
6.3. The science/society discussion . . . . .	109
6.3.1. More and more interactions of the subject of soil and diagnostics . . . . .	109
6.3.2. Current research and the contributions of human and social sciences. . . . .	116
6.4. Conclusion . . . . .	119
6.5. Bibliography . . . . .	120
<b>Chapter 7. The Soil as Territorial Commons: The Point of View of a Landscaper . . . . .</b>	<b>127</b>
Pierre DONADIEU	
7.1. Introduction. . . . .	127
7.2. Territorial and landscaped commons . . . . .	128
7.2.1. Territorial commons . . . . .	129
7.2.2. Landscaped commons . . . . .	131
7.2.3. Territorialism or landscaping? . . . . .	134
7.2.4. Conclusion. . . . .	137
7.3. Building territorial commons . . . . .	137
7.3.1. Separating the rights of soil usage . . . . .	137
7.3.2. Land use of the Morbihan Regional Nature Park: a landscape issue for local societies . . . . .	138
7.3.3. Conserving the agricultural soil of the Pays de Caux: a common cause? . . . . .	140
7.3.4. The Perfume River in Hué (Vietnam) . . . . .	141
7.4. Conclusion . . . . .	144
7.5. Bibliography . . . . .	145
<b>List of Authors . . . . .</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>151</b>