

---

## Contents

---

|  |      |
|--|------|
| <b>Preface . . . . .</b>   | xi   |
| <b>Introduction . . . . .</b>  | xiii |
| <b>List of Abbreviations . . . . .</b>   | xvii |
| <b>Chapter 1. Introduction to Structural Optimization. . . . .</b>                         | 1    |
| 1.1. Introduction . . . . .  | 1    |
| 1.2. History of structural optimization . . . . .  | 2    |
| 1.3. Sizing optimization . . . . .   | 4    |
| 1.3.1. Definition . . . . .  | 4    |
| 1.3.2. First works in sizing optimization . . . . .  | 4    |
| 1.3.3. Numerical application . . . . .   | 5    |
| 1.4. Shape optimization . . . . .  | 10   |
| 1.4.1. Definition . . . . .  | 10   |
| 1.4.2. First works in shape optimization . . . . .   | 11   |
| 1.4.3. Numerical application . . . . .   | 12   |
| 1.5. Topology optimization . . . . .   | 16   |
| 1.5.1. Definition . . . . .  | 16   |
| 1.5.2. First works in topology optimization . . . . .                                      | 17   |
| 1.5.3. Numerical application . . . . .   | 18   |
| 1.6. Conclusion . . . . .  | 21   |
| <b>Chapter 2. Integration of Structural Optimization<br/>into Biomechanics . . . . .</b>   | 23   |
| 2.1. Introduction . . . . .  | 23   |
| 2.2. Integration of structural optimization into<br>orthopedic prosthesis design . . . . . | 23   |

---

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| 2.2.1. Structural optimization of the hip prosthesis . . . . .  | 24        |
| 2.2.2. Sizing optimization of a 3D intervertebral<br>disk prosthesis . . . . .                                | 42        |
| 2.3. Integration of structural optimization into<br>orthodontic prosthesis design . . . . .                   | 47        |
| 2.3.1. Sizing optimization of a dental implant . . . . .  | 47        |
| 2.3.2. Shape optimization of a mini-plate . . . . .   | 49        |
| 2.4. Advanced integration of structural optimization<br>into drilling surgery . . . . .                       | 52        |
| 2.4.1. Case of treatment of a crack with a single hole . . . . .  | 53        |
| 2.4.2. Case of treatment of a crack with two holes . . . . .  | 54        |
| 2.5. Conclusion . . . . .   | 56        |
| <b>Chapter 3. Integration of Reliability into<br/>Structural Optimization . . . . .</b>                       | <b>57</b> |
| 3.1. Introduction . . . . .   | 57        |
| 3.2. Literature review of reliability-based optimization . . . . .  | 58        |
| 3.3. Comparison between deterministic and<br>reliability-based optimization . . . . .                         | 60        |
| 3.3.1. Deterministic optimization . . . . .   | 61        |
| 3.3.2. Reliability-based optimization . . . . .   | 63        |
| 3.4. Numerical application . . . . .  | 64        |
| 3.4.1. Description and modeling of the studied problem . . . . .  | 64        |
| 3.4.2. Numerical results . . . . .  | 65        |
| 3.5. Approaches and strategies for<br>reliability-based optimization . . . . .                                | 68        |
| 3.5.1. Mono-level approaches . . . . .  | 68        |
| 3.5.2. Double-level approaches . . . . .  | 68        |
| 3.5.3. Sequential decoupled approaches . . . . .  | 68        |
| 3.6. Two points of view for developments of<br>reliability-based optimization . . . . .                       | 69        |
| 3.6.1. Point of view of “Reliability” . . . . .   | 69        |
| 3.6.2. Point of view of “Optimization” . . . . .  | 70        |
| 3.6.3. Method efficiency . . . . .  | 70        |
| 3.7. Philosophy of integration of the concept of<br>reliability into structural optimization groups . . . . . | 72        |
| 3.8. Conclusion . . . . .   | 73        |
| <b>Chapter 4. Reliability-based Design<br/>Optimization Model . . . . .</b>                                   | <b>75</b> |
| 4.1. Introduction . . . . .   | 75        |
| 4.2. Classic method . . . . .   | 76        |

---

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 4.2.1. Formulations . . . . .   | 76  |
| 4.2.2. Optimality conditions . . . . .  | 77  |
| 4.2.3. Algorithm . . . . .  | 77  |
| 4.2.4. Advantages and disadvantages . . . . .                                     | 79  |
| 4.3. Hybrid method . . . . .  | 79  |
| 4.3.1. Formulation . . . . .  | 79  |
| 4.3.2. Optimality conditions . . . . .  | 82  |
| 4.3.3. Algorithm . . . . .  | 84  |
| 4.3.4. Advantages and disadvantages . . . . .                                     | 85  |
| 4.4. Improved hybrid method . . . . .   | 86  |
| 4.4.1. Formulations . . . . .   | 86  |
| 4.4.2. Optimality conditions . . . . .  | 86  |
| 4.4.3. Algorithm . . . . .  | 89  |
| 4.4.4. Advantages and disadvantages . . . . .                                     | 90  |
| 4.5. Optimum safety factor method . . . . .                                       | 91  |
| 4.5.1. Safety factor concept . . . . .  | 91  |
| 4.5.2. Developments and optimality conditions . . . . .                           | 92  |
| 4.5.3. Algorithm . . . . .  | 97  |
| 4.5.4. Advantages and disadvantages . . . . .                                     | 98  |
| 4.6. Safest point method . . . . .  | 98  |
| 4.6.1. Formulations . . . . .   | 98  |
| 4.6.2. Algorithm . . . . .  | 102 |
| 4.6.3. Advantages and disadvantages . . . . .                                     | 104 |
| 4.7. Numerical applications . . . . .   | 105 |
| 4.7.1. RBDO of a hook: CM and HM . . . . .  | 105 |
| 4.7.2. RBDO of a triangular plate: HM & IHM . . . . .                             | 107 |
| 4.7.3. RBDO of a console beam<br>(sandwich beam): HM and OSF . . . . .            | 110 |
| 4.7.4. RBDO of an aircraft wing: HM & SP . . . . .                                | 113 |
| 4.8. Classification of the methods developed . . . . .                            | 115 |
| 4.8.1. Numerical methods . . . . .  | 115 |
| 4.8.2. Semi-numerical methods . . . . .   | 116 |
| 4.8.3. Comparison between the numerical- and<br>semi-numerical methods . . . . .  | 118 |
| 4.9. Conclusion . . . . .   | 119 |
| <br><b>Chapter 5. Reliability-based Topology<br/>Optimization Model . . . . .</b> | 121 |
| 5.1. Introduction . . . . .   | 121 |
| 5.2. Formulation and algorithm for the RBTO model . . . . .                       | 122 |
| 5.2.1. Formulation . . . . .  | 122 |

---

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| 5.2.2. Algorithm . . . . .  | 123        |
| 5.2.3. Validation of the RBTO code developed . . . . .  | 125        |
| 5.3. Validation of the RBTO model . . . . .   | 126        |
| 5.3.1. Analytical validation . . . . .  | 126        |
| 5.3.2. Numerical validation . . . . .   | 128        |
| 5.4. Variability of the reliability index . . . . .   | 134        |
| 5.4.1. Example 1: MBB beam. . . . .   | 136        |
| 5.4.2. Example 2: Cantilever beam . . . . .   | 136        |
| 5.4.3. Example 3: Cantilever beam with double loads . . . . .   | 136        |
| 5.4.4. Example 4: Cantilever beam with a transversal hole. . . . .  | 136        |
| 5.5. Numerical applications for the RBTO model . . . . .  | 137        |
| 5.5.1. Static analysis . . . . .  | 138        |
| 5.5.2. Modal analysis . . . . .   | 139        |
| 5.5.3. Fatigue analysis . . . . .   | 141        |
| 5.6. Two points of view for integration of reliability<br>into topology optimization . . . . .  | 142        |
| 5.6.1. Point of view of “topology” . . . . .  | 144        |
| 5.6.2. Point of view of “reliability” . . . . .   | 144        |
| 5.6.3. Numerical applications for the two points of view. . . . .   | 146        |
| 5.7. Conclusion . . . . .   | 152        |
| <b>Chapter 6. Integration of Reliability and<br/>Structural Optimization into Prosthesis Design . . . . .</b>                                       | <b>153</b> |
| 6.1. Introduction . . . . .   | 153        |
| 6.2. Prosthesis design. . . . .   | 154        |
| 6.3. Integration of topology optimization<br>into prosthesis design. . . . .  | 154        |
| 6.3.1. Importance of topology optimization<br>in prosthesis design . . . . .  | 155        |
| 6.3.2. Place of topology optimization in<br>the prosthesis design chain . . . . .   | 156        |
| 6.4. Integration of reliability and structural<br>optimization into hip prosthesis design . . . . .   | 157        |
| 6.4.1. Numerical application of the deterministic approach . . . . .  | 158        |
| 6.4.2. Numerical application of the reliability-based approach . . . . .  | 167        |
| 6.5. Integration of reliability and structural<br>optimization into the design of mini-plate systems<br>used to treat fractured mandibles . . . . . | 174        |
| 6.5.1. Numerical application of the<br>deterministic approach. . . . .  | 174        |
| 6.5.2. Numerical application of the<br>reliability-based approach . . . . .   | 181        |

---

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| 6.6. Integration of reliability and structural optimization into dental implant design. . . . . | 184        |
| 6.6.1. Description and modeling of the problem . . . . .  | 184        |
| 6.6.2. Numerical results . . . . .  | 186        |
| 6.7. Conclusion . . . . .   | 188        |
| <b>Appendices . . . . .</b>   | <b>189</b> |
| <b>Appendix 1. ANSYS Code for Stem Geometry . . . . .</b>                                       | <b>191</b> |
| <b>Appendix 2. ANSYS Code for Mini-Plate Geometry . . . . .</b>                                 | <b>197</b> |
| <b>Appendix 3. ANSYS Code for Dental Implant Geometry . . . . .</b>                             | <b>201</b> |
| <b>Appendix 4. ANSYS Code for Geometry<br/>of Dental Implant with Bone . . . . .</b>            | <b>207</b> |
| <b>Bibliography . . . . .</b>   | <b>213</b> |
| <b>Index . . . . .</b>  | <b>229</b> |