
Contents

Foreword	ix
Introduction	xi
Chapter 1. A Virtual Learning Environment seen as a System of Instrumented Activities	1
1.1. From school radios to MOOCs: a retrospective glance at the evolution of instrumented activities in education.	1
1.1.1. Educational technologies, ICT, ICTs for teaching, common ICTs?	6
1.1.2. A broad variety of technologies in education.	8
1.1.3. Learning to put knowledge, expertise and interpersonal skills into practice.	9
1.1.4. Learning modes	11
1.1.5. Learning modes in education	11
1.1.6. ICT, learning and pedagogical theories: an interrelated revolution.	12
1.1.7. From objectivist epistemology to school radio and television	13
1.1.8. From behaviorism to computer-assisted learning	15
1.1.9. From construtivism to microworlds	19
1.1.10. From social constructivism to CLE, CSCL and cMOOC	23
1.1.11. Learning in an open network: from connectivism to MOOCs	27
1.1.12. Synthesis of these evolutions	38
1.2. VLEs: a system of instrumented activity	38
1.2.1. Virtual learning environments (VLEs)	38
1.2.2. The principles of the systemic paradigm	40
1.2.3. The steps involved in the systemic approach	44
1.2.4. VLEs seen as open systems	51
1.2.5. VLEs seen as systems of instrumented activity	55
1.3. Conclusion	61

Chapter 2. Modeling Instrumented Activity at the Heart of the Virtual Environment	63
2.1. Introduction	63
2.2. Modeling instrumented activity, yes, but why?	65
2.2.1. What type of model are we talking about?	65
2.2.2. Models for multiple uses	66
2.2.3. Models with specific uses	68
2.3. Contour, components and hierarchical levels of a system of instrumented activity	76
2.3.1. Perimeters, objects and components.	76
2.3.2. Three levels (macro, meso, micro) associated with business processes	77
2.3.3. An example of distance learning device analysis	79
2.3.4. Associated levels, objects and models	80
2.4. Summary of models and modeling languages.	81
2.4.1. Models of pedagogical engineering (EML).	81
2.4.2. Training engineering models.	96
2.4.3. Adaptive models	100
2.4.4. Systemic models of activity	103
2.4.5. Systemic models of complexity	106
Chapter 3. Models of Instrumented Activity Challenged by Technopedagogical Innovations	109
3.1. Introduction	109
3.2. The Vygotskian model and its expansion	111
3.2.1. Digital ink: towards a new virtual learning environment design	111
3.2.2. Use of tablets (iPads) in school contexts	114
3.3. Expansion of Engeström's model	116
3.3.1. Looking for a unifying model in long-distance learning.	116
3.3.2. First expansion of Engeström's model for designing a CLE	120
3.4. New context of usage and new expansion	123
3.4.1. Digital workspaces in schools: online text books	123
3.4.2. Second expansion of the model: facilitating the analysis of online textbooks	124
3.5. Expansion of pedagogical and training engineering models	125
3.5.1. Resistance to pedagogical engineering models.	126
3.5.2. Evolution towards training engineering models	130
3.6. MOOC models to build	131
3.7. Conclusion on the resistance conditions of virtual learning environment models	132

Chapter 4. The Digital Learning Environment in the Paradigm of Systemic Complexity Modeling	135
4.1. Complex systems theory and theoretical framework	135
4.1.1. Definition of a complex system	137
4.1.2. Modeling of complex systems	148
4.2. Argument in favor of the application of the complex systems theory to the modeling of latest-generation DLEs	159
4.2.1. Introduction	159
4.2.2. DLE: a complex system	162
4.2.3. Complexity theory: at the heart of the connectivist learning design	168
4.2.4. Conceptual analogy between the SM of complexity and SM of activity	170
4.2.5. Modeling these environments with suitable languages	172
4.2.6. Enrolling in a forward-looking approach	178
Chapter 5. Modeling a DLE Perceived as a Complex System	181
5.1. Finalized and recursive processes in an active environment	183
5.1.1. Identifiable finalized processes (functions).	183
5.1.2. Recursive processes.	189
5.1.3. Representation of the processes and functional levels of the system	190
5.1.4. The eight constituent functions of a DLE applied to systemic modeling.	193
5.2. Synchronic processes (the system performs)	197
5.2.1. Identifying the active presence of interrelationships.	198
5.2.2. Establishing an input and output value inventory for each process.	200
5.2.3. Representing data flow and functional dependencies between processes	201
5.3. Diachronic processes (the system becomes).	206
5.3.1. Typical sequences (or scenarios) and even tracking	208
5.3.2. Traces left by events	208
5.3.3. The states and state diagrams	209
5.3.4. Process descriptions	210
5.4. A system capable of processing information and deciding its own behavior	211
5.5. A model based on analysis data	211
5.5.1. A model constructed from analysis data	211
5.5.2. Provenance and data collection	212
5.5.3. Data analysis	224
Chapter 6. Modeling and Simulation of an MOOC: Practical Point	227
6.1. Modeling an MOOC.	228
6.1.1. Package and use case diagrams	231
6.1.2. “Class” diagrams	236
6.1.3. State transition diagrams	239
6.1.4. Sequence diagrams	240

6.2. MOOC simulation	243
6.2.1. The program structure	243
6.2.2. The script	245
6.2.3. Related files	246
6.2.4. Parameters that come into play and initialization values	246
6.2.5. Progress in the chapters	246
6.3. Simulation result.	246
6.3.1. Level of total understanding	247
6.3.2. Level of understanding of the module.	248
6.3.3. Level of understanding of the chapter.	250
6.4. Putting the obtained results into perspective	251
Conclusion	253
Appendices	257
Appendix 1. Functional model notation	259
Appendix 2. Dynamic model notation	261
Appendix 3. MOOC.py and Quiz.py	263
Appendix 4. Étudiants.py	265
Appendix 5. Chapitre.py	267
References	269
Index	289