

---

## Contents

---

<b>Introduction . . . . .</b>	ix
Julien KLESZCZOWSKI and Nathalie RAULET-CROSET	
<b>Chapter 1. Co-Construction of an Assessment Process by and for Organizations in the Social and Solidarity Economy . . . . .</b>	1
Charlotte MOREAU, Helena SADZOT and Sybille MERTENS	
1.1. Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2. Contextualization . . . . .	2
1.3. The VISES project. . . . .	3
1.3.1. Description of the VISES project . . . . .	3
1.3.2. Choice of the action research approach . . . . .	5
1.4. Action research project outcome: a social impact assessment process . . . . .	8
1.4.1. A three-step process . . . . .	9
1.4.2. Specific characteristics of the VISES social impact assessment process . . . . .	14
1.5. Conclusion . . . . .	22
1.6. References . . . . .	24
<b>Chapter 2. Appropriate and Appropriated Social Impact Monitoring Tools for Social Enterprises . . . . .</b>	27
Coralie HELLEPUTTE and Odile DAYEZ	
2.1. Introduction . . . . .	27
2.2. Literature review. . . . .	28
2.2.1. Management tools in the social impact assessment of social enterprises . . . . .	28
2.2.2. The tensions around management tools in social enterprises . . . . .	29

2.2.3. The opportunities of management tools in social enterprises . . . . .	30
2.2.4. The appropriation of management tools in social enterprises . . . . .	31
2.3. Methodology . . . . .	33
2.3.1. The case study. . . . .	33
2.3.2. Data collected . . . . .	33
2.3.3. Analytical frameworks . . . . .	34
2.4. Presentation and analysis of the tool . . . . .	35
2.4.1. The context of the introduction of the social impact reporting tool. . . . .	35
2.4.2. The (initial) process of co-constructing the tool . . . . .	38
2.4.3. Content and actual use of the tool . . . . .	39
2.5. Discussion: lessons learned about appropriation of the tool . . . . .	42
2.6. Conclusion . . . . .	45
2.7. References . . . . .	46
 <b>Chapter 3. Assessment as a Socio-Political Compromise: Case Study of a Crèche Chain</b> . . . . .	51
Marion STUDER	
3.1. Introduction . . . . .	51
3.2. Assessment conventions in the social and solidarity economy . . . . .	52
3.2.1. The theoretical framework of the economics of conventions . . . . .	52
3.2.2. The “managerial” and “deliberative” assessment conventions . . . . .	54
3.3. Social impact assessment at Berceau. . . . .	58
3.3.1. A chain of parental crèches “not like the rest” . . . . .	58
3.3.2. Composite organization of Berceau’s assessment . . . . .	61
3.4. Conclusion . . . . .	69
3.5. References . . . . .	70
 <b>Chapter 4. Assessment Logics of Social Innovation Projects: Case Study of a Social Impact Bond</b> . . . . .	73
Adrien BAUDET, Mathias GUÉRINEAU and Julien KLESZCZOWSKI	
4.1. Introduction . . . . .	73
4.2. The issues at stake in assessing social innovation from a perspective of funding and upscaling. . . . .	75
4.2.1. An innovative tool for funding social innovation: SIBs . . . . .	75
4.2.2. Assessing the social impact of social innovation projects . . . . .	77
4.3. Research methodology and empirical context. . . . .	78
4.3.1. Research methodology . . . . .	78
4.3.2. The SIB between Jobactive and the various stakeholders . . . . .	79
4.3.3. The various steps in the construction of the SIB . . . . .	82

4.4. The construction of a social impact assessment process in the context of an SIB . . . . .	83
4.4.1. The functions of the mechanism: the objectives of the process . . . . .	84
4.4.2. Criteria and indicators: how to measure the achievement of objectives . . . . .	84
4.4.3. Contractual objectives of the SIB . . . . .	85
4.4.4. Definition of the target audience . . . . .	86
4.4.5. Methods of collecting the data required for the assessment . . . . .	88
4.5. Case study analysis: an imbalanced assessment . . . . .	89
4.5.1. An assessment that does not fully address the relevant issues . . . . .	89
4.5.2. The reasons for the imbalance in the assessment process . . . . .	90
4.5.3. Two different assessment logics . . . . .	92
4.6. Conclusion . . . . .	93
4.7. References . . . . .	94
<b>Chapter 5. Social Impact Assessment in an Environment of Extreme Poverty: The Case of Access to Drinking Water . . . . .</b>	<b>99</b>
Guillaume MARTIN and Thierry CÔME	
5.1. Introduction . . . . .	99
5.2. The challenge of assessing the impact of a social enterprise in an environment of extreme poverty . . . . .	101
5.2.1. Multidimensional poverty: “Think about it three times” . . . . .	101
5.2.2. A complex impact assessment process . . . . .	104
5.3. The social enterprise 1001fontaines in rural Cambodia . . . . .	105
5.3.1. Presentation of the social enterprise 1001fontaines in Cambodia . . . . .	105
5.3.2. 1001fontaines’ environment in Cambodia . . . . .	108
5.3.3. Safe drinking water access in rural areas and systemic impact on poverty . . . . .	109
5.4. Assessing the social impact of 1001fontaines in Cambodia . . . . .	111
5.4.1. A diversity of partners and operational difficulties in conducting the assessment . . . . .	111
5.4.2. The economic impact assessment: socially effective and sustainable microenterprises in Cambodia’s villages . . . . .	112
5.4.3. Impact assessments on health and absenteeism . . . . .	115
5.4.4. Occurrence of natural disasters and importance of assessing the model’s resilience to climate change . . . . .	116
5.5. Discussion . . . . .	120
5.6. Conclusion . . . . .	121
5.7. References . . . . .	122

<b>Chapter 6. Assessing SSE Organizations: A Relational Approach Constitutive of Value . . . . .</b>	127
Elena LASIDA, Hélène DUCLOS, Augustin GILLE, Julien KLESZCZOWSKI, Juliana LIMA and Emmanuelle BRIAND	
6.1. Introduction . . . . .	127
6.2. The limits of existing approaches to assessing social impact . . . . .	129
6.2.1. An instrumental rationality conveyed by the existing approaches to social impact assessment . . . . .	129
6.2.2. The rationale underlying SSE organizations: a combination of instrumental action and constitutive action . . . . .	130
6.3. The assessment of SdC's social impact . . . . .	131
6.3.1. Case study: the social impact assessment process of the SdC association . . . . .	132
6.3.2. Phase one of the process: qualification of the social impact of SdC via the definition of a core value . . . . .	134
6.3.3. Phase two of the process: quantification of the social impact of SdC . . . . .	140
6.3.4. Phase three of the process: learning strategy – appropriation of the outcomes by SdC . . . . .	142
6.4. Approaching assessment through relational value . . . . .	143
6.4.1. Social impact: a relational conception of value . . . . .	144
6.4.2. The assessment method implemented: ingredients of a socio-anthropological approach . . . . .	147
6.5. Conclusion . . . . .	151
6.6. References . . . . .	153
<b>List of Authors . . . . .</b>	157
<b>Index. . . . .</b>	159