
Contents

| | |
|---|-------|
| Endorsements | xiii |
| Acknowledgements | xv |
| Introduction | xvii |
| Dimitri COURANT and Bernard REBER | |
| I.1. Deliberative mini-publics, elsewhere and previously | xix |
| I.2. An exceptional scheme | xxi |
| I.3. A cross-disciplinary study to move past an oversimplistic narrative | xxiii |
| I.4. Presentation of the book | xxv |
| I.5. An atypical field study for a multidisciplinary collective. | xxxii |
| I.6. References | xxxvi |
| Part 1. A Complex Deliberative Process. | 1 |
| Chapter 1. Genesis and Evolution of the Citizens' Convention for Climate | 3 |
| Dimitri COURANT | |
| 1.1. Precursors and context: the Grand débat national and the citizen group of the ESEC | 4 |
| 1.2. A negotiated and hybrid device: between militant lobbying and political strategy | 5 |
| 1.2.1. The creation of the “Gilets citoyens”: between hesitations and misunderstandings | 6 |
| 1.2.2. Meetings with government personnel at the Élysée, and adoption of the project by the state | 12 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1.3. Modifications and crises | 16 |
| 1.3.1. An ambiguous launch: the governing committee, guarantors and mandate | 16 |
| 1.3.2. Evolution punctuated by crises | 21 |
| 1.4. Conclusion | 25 |
| 1.5. References | 25 |
| Chapter 2. Governing Committee and Political Role | 29 |
| Jean-Michel FOURNIAU | |
| 2.1. Self-government or external leadership of citizens' assemblies | 30 |
| 2.2. Composition of the governing committee: a political negotiation | 33 |
| 2.3. Role of the governing committee and scope of autonomy | 37 |
| 2.4. "Sovereignty" of the Convention | 40 |
| 2.5. Conclusion: an innovative participatory device or a new form of democratic representation | 42 |
| 2.6. References | 43 |
| Chapter 3. Comparing Ireland's Citizens' Assembly and France's Citizens' Convention | 47 |
| Dimitri COURANT | |
| 3.1. Random selection to the rescue of democracy and ecology | 47 |
| 3.2. Ireland's Citizens' Assembly: between citizen autonomy and control by the managers | 51 |
| 3.2.1. A bottom-up change of agenda | 51 |
| 3.2.2. The force of management | 53 |
| 3.2.3. Moderated resolutions | 56 |
| 3.3. Comparative analysis with France's Convention: a more partial but more productive deliberation | 59 |
| 3.3.1. Similarities in genesis: crisis and activism | 59 |
| 3.3.2. International transfer? The call for "inspiration from Ireland" at a rhetorical level | 61 |
| 3.3.3. Differences in deliberative designs: unitary deliberation versus siloed debates | 64 |
| 3.4. Conclusion | 69 |
| 3.5. Acknowledgements | 70 |
| 3.6. References | 70 |
| Chapter 4. The Pandemic and Conflicts of Legitimacy: Session 6bis | 75 |
| Bernard REBER and Nathalie BLANC | |
| 4.1. Accelerated improvisation | 77 |
| 4.1.1. The agenda put to the vote | 78 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.1.2. Cascading interlinked votes | 80 |
| 4.1.3. Comments | 80 |
| 4.2. Influences on the Co-Chairs' and citizens' reactions | 82 |
| 4.2.1. Responding to a historic moment | 84 |
| 4.2.2. Inequalities and fairness | 85 |
| 4.2.3. Limitations and advantages of "civic" technologies | 86 |
| 4.3. Management, selection and cascading votes | 87 |
| 4.3.1. A lack of pluralism | 88 |
| 4.3.2. Poorly justified choices and disparities in the facilitation | 89 |
| 4.3.3. Quarrels about baroque "votes" | 90 |
| 4.3.4. A secret report sent to the executive | 92 |
| 4.4. Media leak and crisis within the governing committee | 93 |
| 4.5. Conclusion | 95 |
| 4.6. References | 99 |
| Part 2. Convention Members and Public Opinion | 101 |
| Chapter 5. France in Miniature: The Descriptive Legitimacy of the Random Selection Process | 103 |
| Jean-Michel FOURNIAU, Bénédicte APOUEY and Solène TOURNUS | |
| 5.1. Initial recruitment of the 150 citizens | 104 |
| 5.1.1. Sampling frame | 104 |
| 5.1.2. Creation of the panel | 105 |
| 5.1.3. Phone calls | 106 |
| 5.1.4. Finalization of the initial recruitment | 107 |
| 5.1.5. Overview of the initial recruitment process: a group of volunteer citizens | 108 |
| 5.2. Changes in the Convention's composition as it progressed | 111 |
| 5.2.1. Attrition of the group of citizens and further recruitments | 111 |
| 5.2.2. Voting body for the final session | 112 |
| 5.3. Sociodemographic characteristics of the participants in the Citizens' Convention for Climate | 113 |
| 5.4. Composition of the thematic groups | 118 |
| 5.5. References | 123 |
| Chapter 6. Profiles and Opinions of the Convention Members Compared to Those of the Population | 125 |
| Adrien FABRE, Bénédicte APOUEY, Thomas DOUENNE, Jean-Michel FOURNIAU, Louis-Gaëtan GIRAUDET, Jean-François LASLIER and Solène TOURNUS | |
| 6.1. Introduction | 126 |
| 6.2. Statistical representativity | 128 |
| 6.2.1. Sources | 128 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 6.2.2. Method | 129 |
| 6.2.3. Sociodemographic characteristics | 130 |
| 6.2.4. Attitudes | 130 |
| 6.3. Deliberative citizens | 145 |
| 6.4. The general public's perceptions of the Convention | 149 |
| 6.5. Conclusion | 156 |
| 6.6. References | 157 |
| Chapter 7. The Convention Members' Opinions on Climate Measures | 159 |
| Bénédicte APOUEY, Thomas DOUENNE and Jean-François LASLIER | |
| 7.1. Originally, mass support for the measures... with three exceptions | 161 |
| 7.2. Sociodemography of the support for the climate measures | 165 |
| 7.3. Perceptions relating to climate change and support for the measures | 170 |
| 7.4. Trust, life satisfaction, expectations and degree of support for the measures | 174 |
| 7.5. Citizens divided on the issue of the carbon tax, from the outset | 179 |
| 7.6. Evolution of the degree of support for the measures over the course of the Convention | 182 |
| 7.7. Conclusion | 183 |
| 7.8. References | 185 |
| Part 3. Deliberations, Proposals and Expertise | 187 |
| Chapter 8. Deliberative Impartiality and Legitimacy of the Influences | 189 |
| Dimitri COURANT and Simon BAECKELANDT | |
| 8.1. Impartiality as a deliberative imperative | 191 |
| 8.2. Objectivizing impartiality | 194 |
| 8.3. Around the citizens: politically committed organizers and experts | 196 |
| 8.4. Evaluating attempts to influence: modes of interaction and legitimacy | 199 |
| 8.4.1. Procedural mode | 201 |
| 8.4.2. Classical mode | 203 |
| 8.4.3. Discursive mode | 207 |
| 8.4.4. Semi-formal mode | 208 |
| 8.4.5. Informal mode | 212 |
| 8.4.6. External mode | 214 |
| 8.5. Conclusion: proceduralizing impartiality | 216 |
| 8.6. References | 220 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Chapter 9. Roles of the Experts and of the Citizens in Devising the Measures Put Forward: The Thematic Group “Housing” | 225 |
| Louis-Gaëtan GIRAUDET and Hélène GUILLEMOT | |
| 9.1. Characteristics and issues surrounding “Housing” as a field. | 226 |
| 9.2. Experts and stakeholders | 227 |
| 9.3. Development and progression of the measures | 229 |
| 9.4. Role of the citizens, and role of the experts | 232 |
| 9.5. The measures, and what became of them | 235 |
| 9.6. Conclusion | 238 |
| 9.7. References | 239 |
| Chapter 10. The Relationships to Expertise in Light of “Technical” Democracy | 241 |
| Selma TILIKETE | |
| 10.1. The C3 in line with a dialog-based model? | 244 |
| 10.2. Acting in a situation of “consensus on the diagnosis”. | 251 |
| 10.3. Expanding the approach to the climate problem. | 255 |
| 10.4. Relaying the experts’ proposals, and making them fairer. | 259 |
| 10.5. Conclusion | 262 |
| 10.6. References | 264 |
| Chapter 11. Getting Around the Issue of the “Carbon Tax” | 267 |
| Romane ROZENCWAJG, Bénédicte APOUEY, Maxime GABORIT, Laurent JEANPIERRE and Jean-François LASLIER | |
| 11.1. Initial rejection of the “carbon tax”. | 268 |
| 11.1.1. What is the “carbon tax”? | 268 |
| 11.1.2. Initial attitudes and subsequent shifts | 269 |
| 11.1.3. From the notion of social justice to the constraint of acceptability | 274 |
| 11.2. In search of substitute measures. | 275 |
| 11.2.1. Promoting other modes of transport | 276 |
| 11.2.2. Banning excessively heavy cars | 278 |
| 11.2.3. Back to tax? | 279 |
| 11.3. Conclusion | 283 |
| 11.4. References | 285 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Part 4. Social Movements, Justice and Exceptions | 287 |
| Chapter 12. Conceptions of Social, Environmental and Climate Justice | 289 |
| Nathalie BLANC and Laurence GRANCHAMP | |
| 12.1. Definitions of social, environmental and climate justice | 291 |
| 12.2. Political and organizational frameworks | 292 |
| 12.3. Debates on social justice in Session 2 of the C3 | 294 |
| 12.4. A step toward environmental and climate justice | 299 |
| 12.5. Conclusion | 303 |
| 12.6. References | 304 |
| Chapter 13. Contestation and Deliberation: Activists and the Randomly Selected Citizens | 307 |
| Maxime GABORIT | |
| 13.1. An organization open to social dynamics | 309 |
| 13.2. Diversity of interactions between activists and randomly selected citizens | 313 |
| 13.2.1. Activists providing support to the citizens of the Convention | 313 |
| 13.2.2. Active participation of activists in the deliberation | 316 |
| 13.2.3. Conflicts and oppositions | 317 |
| 13.2.4. Shared commitments | 320 |
| 13.3. Moving past the dichotomy between contestation and deliberation | 322 |
| 13.4. References | 324 |
| Chapter 14. Representativity and Exceptionality: Citizens from Overseas and Co-decision | 327 |
| Christiane RAFIDINARIVO | |
| 14.1. Dynamics of co-decision on public policy and construction of the research object | 329 |
| 14.2. Descriptive representativity and political representation: the exceptionality of the Overseas Territories | 334 |
| 14.2.1. Sortition and descriptive representativity | 334 |
| 14.2.2. The question of political representation | 337 |
| 14.3. The argument of exceptionality | 341 |
| 14.3.1. Exceptionality which weighs against reducing GHG emissions | 344 |
| 14.3.2. Exceptionality which helps to reduce GHG emissions | 347 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 14.4. Conclusion | 355 |
| 14.5. Acknowledgements | 358 |
| 14.6. References | 359 |
| Part 5. Comparisons, Filters and Accountability | 365 |
| Chapter 15. The Bürgerrat Klima: Germany's Informal Model for Integrating Citizen Deliberation into Politics | 367 |
| Rikki DEAN and Gabriel PELLOQUIN | |
| 15.1. Bürgerrat Klima's internal characteristics | 369 |
| 15.1.1. Participants' recruitment | 369 |
| 15.1.2. Agenda-setting: what to discuss? | 370 |
| 15.1.3. The structure of the deliberation | 372 |
| 15.1.4. Recommendations | 373 |
| 15.2. The Assembly's integrative characteristics | 375 |
| 15.3. Conclusion | 382 |
| 15.4. References | 384 |
| Chapter 16. Ambiguities of the Phrase “With No Filter” and the Necessary Filters | 387 |
| Bernard REBER | |
| 16.1. “With no filter”: the ambiguity of a promise. | 390 |
| 16.1.1. The President's interpretations | 390 |
| 16.1.2. The guarantors' and the GC's interpretations: more filters | 393 |
| 16.1.3. The filters of the deliberative system | 394 |
| 16.2. The filters of the Convention | 396 |
| 16.2.1. Filters based on method. | 396 |
| 16.2.2. A charter and certain principles | 398 |
| 16.2.3. The participant recruitment process as a filter | 400 |
| 16.2.4. “Collective intelligence” in place of deliberation. | 401 |
| 16.2.5. The College of Guarantors | 402 |
| 16.3. Legal filters | 404 |
| 16.4. The lacking filters of conceptions of justice | 407 |
| 16.5. Evaluation of the accountability process | 410 |
| 16.5.1. Going behind the curtain | 411 |
| 16.5.2. Asymmetry in the distribution of responsibilities and difficulties in assessment | 413 |
| 16.5.3. Explanations and system of responsibilities. | 414 |
| 16.5.4. Severe sanction for a message rather than an evaluation. | 416 |
| 16.5.5. Overview | 418 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 16.6. Conclusion: filters as “fictions”, necessary for mutual understanding. | 419 |
| 16.6.1. To speak with no filter, or to exercise responsibility? | 421 |
| 16.7. References | 422 |
| Conclusion | 427 |
| Bernard REBER | |
| C.1. Evaluation as a conflictive issue: doing better than the GDN | 428 |
| C.2. No analysis without descriptive and normative filters | 431 |
| C.3. The filters represented by the criteria used, and adherence to them. | 432 |
| C.4. Justifications of the criteria. | 433 |
| C.5. Presuppositions | 436 |
| C.6. With and beyond Habermasian fiction and Rawlsian conjecture | 438 |
| C.7. References | 439 |
| List of Authors | 443 |
| Index. | 445 |