

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements . . . . .</b>	xiii
<b>Introduction . . . . .</b>	xv
Antoine LAPORTE and Antonine RIBARDIÈRE	
<b>Part 1. Territorial Division and the Political Project . . . . .</b>	1
<b>Chapter 1. France's Départements and Municipalities: Fossils or Phoenixes? . . . . .</b>	3
Antoine LAPORTE	
1.1. Introduction . . . . .	3
1.2. Republican equality embodied by regular territorial division . . . . .	6
1.2.1. The invention of <i>départements</i> and communes or the territorial emanation of the revolution . . . . .	6
1.2.2. An extremely solid administrative pyramid . . . . .	8
1.2.3. From republican consensus to fragmentation: boundaries in question throughout the 20th century . . . . .	10
1.3. The age of decentralization: the invention of regions and intermunicipal structures . . . . .	12
1.3.1. The regional trigger . . . . .	13
1.3.2. The development of EPCIs as a consequence to the fragmentation of the communal territory . . . . .	14
1.3.3. Towards the end of the cohabitation between <i>départements</i> and regions .	15
1.4. In the 2010s, continuing decentralization without eliminating any tiers . . . . .	17
1.4.1. Territorial reform and its disruptive effects . . . . .	17
1.4.2. Revenge for the municipalities? . . . . .	19
1.4.3. A more complex administrative structure . . . . .	21
1.5. Conclusion . . . . .	22
1.6. References . . . . .	23

<b>Chapter 2. Intermunicipal Division: An Ambiguous Revolution . . . . .</b>	<b>25</b>
Guillaume VERGNAUD and Antoine LAPORTE	
2.1. Introduction . . . . .	25
2.2. The origin of the intermunicipal association: the inadequacies of an unbreakable municipal territorial division . . . . .	27
2.2.1. A very fine-scale municipal division. . . . .	27
2.2.2. Municipal fragmentation is becoming increasingly problematic . . . . .	28
2.2.3. The immovability of municipal division . . . . .	29
2.3. Intermunicipal division: the rapid but gradual construction of a new division at local level . . . . .	30
2.3.1. The intermunicipality in France: brief reminders and basic definitions . . . . .	30
2.3.2. The revival of the intermunicipality in the 1990s . . . . .	31
2.3.3. As of 2010, completion, deepening and streamlining . . . . .	35
2.4. Impacts, stakes and debates . . . . .	37
2.4.1. A delicate and pointless evaluation . . . . .	37
2.4.2. Relevant, coherent, expanded: the central question of borders . . . . .	38
2.4.3. The political stakes . . . . .	40
2.4.4. Intermunicipal division and other territorial divisions: what impact on the French territorial administrative system? . . . . .	41
2.5. Conclusion . . . . .	43
2.6. References . . . . .	43
<b>Chapter 3. Contradictory Bets on a Greater Paris . . . . .</b>	<b>47</b>
Xavier DESJARDINS	
3.1. Introduction . . . . .	47
3.2. Bigger, more democratic? . . . . .	49
3.3. Bigger, more coherent? . . . . .	54
3.4. Conclusion: how the scale changes . . . . .	55
3.5. References . . . . .	56
<b>Chapter 4. Creating Neighborhoods for Participatory Democracy . . . . .</b>	<b>59</b>
Anne-Lise HUMAIN-LAMOURE	
4.1. Introduction . . . . .	59
4.2. Neighborhoods at the National Assembly and the Senate: the grand narratives of republican territory reinterpreted . . . . .	61
4.2.1. Fear of communal “gridding”: Mirabeau’s victory? . . . . .	61
4.2.2. Scales of power: the return of Sieyès? . . . . .	63
4.3. Setting up neighborhoods: elusive legality, uncertain pragmatism . . . . .	65
4.3.1. Making neighborhoods: an act of sovereignty and a marker of political divisions . . . . .	65
4.3.2. The gridding paradigm: Sieyès’ final victory . . . . .	68

---

4.4. Making territories: the facts of division . . . . .	69
4.4.1. Four revindicated criteria for division. . . . .	69
4.4.2. Putting a “grid” into practice. . . . .	71
4.4.3. The borders of Parisian neighborhoods: the return of Mirabeau? . . . . .	72
4.5. Conclusion . . . . .	75
4.6. References . . . . .	76
<b>Chapter 5. Division for Better Governance in Post-Revolution Tunisia . . . . .</b>	77
Maher BEN REBAH	
5.1. Introduction . . . . .	77
5.2. Genesis and evolution of territorial divisions in Tunisia . . . . .	79
5.2.1. The blurred boundaries of tribal territories . . . . .	79
5.2.2. Civil control: the basis for a dualist territorial division . . . . .	80
5.2.3. Building and consolidating the modern state: shaping and reshaping the territory . . . . .	81
5.2.4. An unequal and partial communal division. . . . .	82
5.3. Land communalization in post-revolution Tunisia: the legal impasse, the political agenda and the technical solution . . . . .	84
5.3.1. Constitutionalizing of decentralization: progress and/or legal impasse . .	84
5.3.2. Communalizing the territory without changing the administrative division: a technical solution for a political agenda . . . . .	86
5.4. Communalization: between past territorial heritage and future electoral implications. . . . .	88
5.4.1. The difficult compromise between administrative and local authority boundaries . . . . .	89
5.4.2. The electoral implications of communalization . . . . .	95
5.5. Conclusion . . . . .	99
5.6. References . . . . .	101
<b>Part 2. Territorial Division and Access to Rights . . . . .</b>	105
<b>Chapter 6. The Challenges of the French Judicial Map . . . . .</b>	107
Etienne CAHU	
6.1. Introduction . . . . .	107
6.2. Rationality, equality, technicality, profit: the multiple foundations of the French judicial map . . . . .	109
6.2.1. The evolution of territorial division since the French Revolution . . . . .	109
6.2.2. A dogmatic clash over court territorial divisions. . . . .	113
6.2.3. Political divisions? . . . . .	116
6.2.4. The judicial map, a State monopoly. . . . .	119
6.3. What impact do judicial territorial divisions have on access to the courts and the delivery of justice?. . . . .	120

6.3.1. The 2009 reform of the judicial map: a limited and exceptional impact on theoretical accessibility to the courts . . . . .	120
6.3.2. Tighter or looser territorial division has little impact on actual accessibility to the courts . . . . .	122
6.3.3. Judicial territorial division, a powerful factor in inequalities and the delivery of justice . . . . .	129
6.4. Conclusion . . . . .	131
6.5. References . . . . .	132
<b>Chapter 7. School Sectorization, the Territorial Division of the French Republic's Schools?</b> . . . . .	135
Jean-Christophe FRANÇOIS	
7.1. Introduction . . . . .	135
7.2. From Jules Ferry to the <i>collège unique</i> : standardizing public secondary education and financing private schools . . . . .	137
7.2.1. The Third Republic: elitist secondary education . . . . .	137
7.2.2. The introduction of public funding for private education under Vichy . . . . .	137
7.2.3. The Gaullist Fifth Republic: modernization, massification, sectorization .	138
7.2.4. The turning point of the <i>collège unique</i> . . . . .	140
7.3. Opening up education and sectorization (1981–2007) . . . . .	142
7.3.1. Persistent disparities between institutions . . . . .	142
7.3.2. Priority education districts . . . . .	143
7.3.3. Sectorization reaffirmed . . . . .	143
7.3.4. Easing the constraint . . . . .	144
7.4. 2007–2012: pseudo-de-sectorization and its consequences . . . . .	146
7.4.1. The announcement of the “abolition of school mapping” . . . . .	146
7.4.2. Increasing school segregation in urban areas . . . . .	148
7.4.3. The boom in private education and the changing relationship between families and schools . . . . .	149
7.5. 2012–2020: Believing that sectorization is a good thing, but that current boundaries are wrong and lead to segregation . . . . .	150
7.5.1. A poorly thought-out territorial division . . . . .	150
7.5.2. Modernizing school sectorization in a context of inequality . . . . .	152
7.6. Conclusion: when the framework hides the territorial division . . . . .	153
7.7. References . . . . .	154
<b>Chapter 8. The Territorial Division of Social Action to Promote Cohesion and Reduce Inequalities</b> . . . . .	159
Antonine RIBARDIÈRE	
8.1. Introduction . . . . .	159
8.2. Professional territorial division, unstable by nature? . . . . .	165
8.3. From specialized administrative zoning to the territorialization of the <i>département</i> 's public action . . . . .	168

---

8.4. Towards infra- <i>département</i> division? . . . . .	171
8.5. Conclusion . . . . .	174
8.6. References . . . . .	175
<b>Chapter 9. France's Territorial Frameworks for Public Health Policy . . . . .</b>	<b>179</b>
Catherine MANGENEY, Emmanuel ELIOT, Véronique LUCAS-GABRIELLI, Guillaume CHEVILLARD and Magali COLDEFY	
9.1. Introduction . . . . .	179
9.2. When the territorial division of healthcare translates into state oversight. . . . .	183
9.2.1. The commune and the <i>département</i> : the first organizational units . . . . .	183
9.2.2. Towards gradual centralization . . . . .	184
9.3. Division as a tool for redistribution . . . . .	186
9.3.1. The turnaround of the 1970s: proactive planning and healthcare mapping	186
9.3.2. From State planner to State facilitator, the regional level reaffirmed. . . . .	187
9.3.3. From the healthcare sector to experimentation with new, more inclusive territorial divisions . . . . .	188
9.4. Towards multi-form territories? . . . . .	191
9.4.1. The 2009 HPST law: the territory as a tool for bringing all actors together . . . . .	191
9.4.2. Mobilizing local resources to meet requirements: the ambiguity of a new “territory” tool . . . . .	194
9.4.3. GHT and CPTS: territorial divisions as vectors for structuring territories?	194
9.5. Conclusion . . . . .	198
9.6. References . . . . .	199
<b>Part 3. Sharing Public Action: From Territorial Division to Zoning . . . . .</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>Chapter 10. Selecting and Acting upon “Priority Neighborhoods” to Reduce Inequalities? . . . . .</b>	<b>205</b>
Violette ARNOULET and Christine LELÉVRIER	
10.1. Introduction . . . . .	205
10.2. Urban policy or the construction of a territorialized public problem . . . . .	206
10.2.1. The neighborhood as a category for public action . . . . .	207
10.2.2. From public categorization to “neighborhood effects” . . . . .	209
10.2.3. Social development and social diversity to reduce inequalities? . . . . .	210
10.3. “Priority geography” as a tool for decentralized public action . . . . .	211
10.3.1. The 2014 reform: meaning and effects of the poverty criterion? . . . . .	212
10.3.2. The impossibility of intermunicipal zoning . . . . .	213
10.4. Acting on “priority neighborhoods” to combat inequality? . . . . .	217
10.4.1. Tensions and adjustments on recurring issues . . . . .	217
10.4.2. What are the alternatives to a zoning system that is constantly being called into question? . . . . .	219

10.5. Conclusion . . . . .	220
10.6. References . . . . .	221
<b>Chapter 11. Demarcate to Preserve: Zoning Protected Areas in France . . . . .</b>	<b>225</b>
Lionel LASLAZ	
11.1. Introduction: territorial division and nature: an oxymoron? . . . . .	225
11.2. From naturalistic and deterministic presuppositions to the political boundaries of protected areas: an ongoing negotiation . . . . .	229
11.2.1. The “grammar” of spatial demarcation . . . . .	230
11.2.2. Justification for the boundary despite infrastructure . . . . .	236
11.2.3. Entering the territorial division and marking the boundary: airlocks, gates, milestones and markers. . . . .	237
11.3. Inside and outside: the territorial division of protected spaces or the shaping of compromise through space . . . . .	238
11.3.1. Protectionist contours and equipment manufacturers’ detours: protection by anticipation, protection by reaction . . . . .	239
11.3.2. The protected area boundary, the Gordian knot of conflict . . . . .	240
11.3.3. Contractual protection and the challenge of membership and politics: drawing the holes on the map . . . . .	244
11.4. Stacking territorial divisions: the temptation to overlay protected areas . . . . .	245
11.4.1. The aporias of “overnetworked” and overprotection . . . . .	245
11.4.2. Stacked logics that call into question the effectiveness of protection policies and relationships with nature . . . . .	248
11.5. Conclusion . . . . .	254
11.6. References . . . . .	255
<b>Chapter 12. Public Action Zoning in Rural Areas . . . . .</b>	<b>259</b>
Pascal CHEVALIER and Guillaume LACQUEMENT	
12.1. Introduction . . . . .	259
12.2. From public policy zoning to public action zoning . . . . .	261
12.2.1. Zoning to adapt to sectoral interventions . . . . .	262
12.2.2. Zoning to implement contractual policies . . . . .	264
12.2.3. From zoning to project territories . . . . .	267
12.3. Project territories for regional development . . . . .	271
12.3.1. Territorial governance as a management principle for spaces organized into networks... . . . . .	272
12.3.2. ...to implement a supposedly efficient public policy. . . . .	274
12.4. From mobilizing stakeholders to building project territories: the ambivalence of public action zoning . . . . .	275
12.4.1. From the network of actors to the boundaries of the project area . . . . .	276
12.4.2. Project territories as a place to formalize network logic . . . . .	279
12.4.3. What are the effects on local land management? . . . . .	280

---

12.5. Conclusion . . . . .	282
12.6. References . . . . .	282
<b>Chapter 13. Rural Revitalization Zones: Between Equality and Efficiency. . . . .</b>	<b>287</b>
Christophe QUÉVA	
13.1. Introduction . . . . .	287
13.2. Logics and principles of ZRRs: an ideal of territorial equality . . . . .	290
13.2.1. The creation of ZRRs: a support mechanism for the most fragile rural areas . . . . .	291
13.2.2. First changes at the turn of 2005: compulsory attachment of municipalities to an EPCI . . . . .	295
13.2.3. Redistribution of ZRRs on an intermunicipal basis (2015): new criteria for density and wealth of inhabitants . . . . .	298
13.3. ZRRs between (in-)efficiency of public action, issues of attractiveness and territorial equity . . . . .	300
13.3.1. ZRR assessment (2018): effectiveness called into question . . . . .	300
13.3.2. From equality to territorial equity? . . . . .	303
13.4. Conclusion . . . . .	304
13.5. References . . . . .	306
<b>List of Authors . . . . .</b>	<b>309</b>
<b>Index. . . . .</b>	<b>311</b>
<b>Index of Places . . . . .</b>	<b>315</b>

— | —

— | —