

---

# Contents

---

<b>Foreword</b> . . . . .	xiii
Alain GUINET	
<b>Preface</b> . . . . .	xvii
Sondès CHAABANE	
<b>Part 1. Optimization and Simulation of Healthcare Systems</b> . . . . .	1
<b>Summary of Contributions – Part 1</b> . . . . .	3
<b>Chapter 1. Towards a Prototype for the Strategic Recomputing of Schedules in Home Care Services</b> . . . . .	7
Cléa MARTINEZ, Maria DI MASCOLO, Marie-Laure ESPINOUSE and Jérôme RADUREAU	
1.1. Introduction . . . . .	7
1.2. Literature review. . . . .	8
1.3. Description of the problem . . . . .	11
1.3.1. Constraints. . . . .	11
1.3.2. Objective function . . . . .	13
1.4. Resolution method. . . . .	13
1.4.1. Route generation . . . . .	13
1.4.2. Route selection . . . . .	14
1.5. Presentation of the prototype . . . . .	14
1.6. Tests and results . . . . .	15
1.7. Conclusion and perspectives . . . . .	17
1.8. References . . . . .	17

<b>Chapter 2. Home Healthcare Scheduling Activities</b> . . . . .	21
Rym BEN BACHOUCH JACQUIN and Jihene TOUNSI	
2.1. Introduction . . . . .	21
2.2. State of the art . . . . .	21
2.3. Description of the proposed approach . . . . .	24
2.3.1. Home healthcare planning “offline phase” . . . . .	24
2.3.2. Rescheduling in online mode . . . . .	27
2.4. Experiments and results . . . . .	28
2.5. Conclusions and perspectives . . . . .	30
2.6. References . . . . .	30
<b>Chapter 3. Optimal Sizing of an Automated Dispensing Cabinet Under Adjacency Constraints</b> . . . . .	35
Khalid HACHEMI, Didier GOURC and François MARMIER	
3.1. Introduction . . . . .	35
3.2. Problem statement . . . . .	37
3.2.1. Description of the assignment problem . . . . .	38
3.2.2. Notations and definitions . . . . .	38
3.3. Mathematical formulation . . . . .	40
3.3.1. Determination of boundary conditions . . . . .	40
3.3.2. Problem solving approach . . . . .	42
3.4. Application example . . . . .	45
3.5. Conclusion . . . . .	47
3.6. References . . . . .	47
<b>Chapter 4. Validation of an Automated and Targeted Pharmaceutical Analysis Tool at the CHU de Liège</b> . . . . .	49
Sophie STREEL, Nathalie MAES, Véronique GONCETTE, Laurence SEIDEL, Denis MENAGER, Adelin ALBERT, Philippe KOLH and Didier MAESEN	
4.1. Introduction . . . . .	49
4.2. Methods . . . . .	51
4.3. Results . . . . .	53
4.3.1. Creation of algorithms . . . . .	53
4.3.2. IT tool development . . . . .	53
4.3.3. Tool validation . . . . .	56
4.4. Discussion and conclusion . . . . .	57
4.5. References . . . . .	59

<b>Chapter 5. Simulation of Countermeasures in the Face of Covid-19 Using a Linear Compartmental Model</b> . . . . .	61
Alain GUINET	
5.1. Introduction . . . . .	61
5.2. The compartmental model . . . . .	62
5.2.1. Model assumptions . . . . .	62
5.2.2. Model parameters . . . . .	63
5.3. A linear SIR model . . . . .	64
5.3.1. Data . . . . .	64
5.3.2. Variables . . . . .	65
5.3.3. Objective function . . . . .	65
5.3.4. Constraints . . . . .	66
5.4. Results . . . . .	68
5.5. Conclusion . . . . .	69
5.6. References . . . . .	70
<b>Part 2. Digital and New Technologies for Health Services</b> . . . . .	73
<b>Summary of Contributions – Part 2</b> . . . . .	75
<b>Chapter 6. Towards a New Classification of Medical Procedures in Belgium</b> . . . . .	79
Pol LECLERCQ, Sarah BARDIAUX, Djohra AZZI, Julie VAN DEN BULCKE and Magali PIRSON	
6.1. Introduction . . . . .	79
6.1.1. An essential but obsolete medical healthcare nomenclature . . . . .	79
6.1.2. Decision to initiate a structural reform of the Belgian healthcare nomenclature . . . . .	80
6.1.3. The NPS V0 nomenclature in a few figures . . . . .	81
6.1.4. Purpose of the presentation . . . . .	81
6.2. Methodology . . . . .	82
6.2.1. Term analysis and standardization (NPS ATMC V1-1) . . . . .	84
6.2.2. Medical pre-validation (NPS ATMC V1-2) . . . . .	84
6.2.3. Matching the WHO International Classification of Health Interventions (NPS ATMC V1-3) . . . . .	86
6.2.4. Provisional classification of new terms (NPS ATMC V1-3') . . . . .	89
6.2.5. INAMI administrative work . . . . .	91
6.2.6. Validation of proposals by expert groups (NPS ATMC V1-4) . . . . .	91
6.3. Results . . . . .	93
6.3.1. Planning . . . . .	93
6.3.2. A summary of the modifications between NPS V0 and NSS V1-3 . . . . .	93
6.3.3. Validation of proposals by experts (NPS ATMC V1-5) . . . . .	96
6.4. Discussion . . . . .	96

6.4.1. From the standardization of medical procedures to a common descriptive classification . . . . .	97
6.4.2. Evaluate the quality for the standardization of medical procedures . . . . .	99
6.4.3. An estimate of the resources mobilized to arrive at a common descriptive classification . . . . .	100
6.4.4. Participation of medical experts . . . . .	100
6.4.5. The implementation of common descriptive classification (CC ATMC V1) . . . . .	101
6.5. Conclusion . . . . .	103
6.6. References . . . . .	103
<b>Chapter 7. Digital Toolkit for the Ergonomic Evaluation of Workstations . . . . .</b>	<b>105</b>
Valentin ROCHAT and Antoine HAYEK	
7.1. Introduction . . . . .	105
7.2. ProcSim and ergonomics . . . . .	106
7.2.1. Origin . . . . .	106
7.2.2. Our product . . . . .	106
7.2.3. Examples of applications in different sectors. . . . .	107
7.2.4. Benefits and value addition . . . . .	108
7.3. Ergonomic assessment process . . . . .	108
7.3.1. Data collection . . . . .	108
7.3.2. Data analysis . . . . .	109
7.3.3. Workstation modeling . . . . .	111
7.3.4. Virtual reality testing of possible activities. . . . .	111
7.3.5. Improvement proposals and recommendations. . . . .	111
7.4. Conclusion . . . . .	112
7.5. References . . . . .	113
<b>Chapter 8. Simulation on an RFID Interactive Tabletop with Tangible Objects of Future Working Conditions: Prospects for Implementation in the Hospital Sector. . . . .</b>	<b>115</b>
Yoann LEBRUN, Nicolas VISPI, Sophie LEPREUX, Sondès CHAABANE and Christophe KOLSKI	
8.1. Introduction . . . . .	115
8.2. State-of-the-art on the simulation of future working conditions . . . . .	116
8.3. Proposal for a simulator on an interactive tabletop . . . . .	117
8.4. Development of a first version of a simulator on an interactive tabletop . . . . .	119
8.5. Application opportunities in the healthcare industry . . . . .	123
8.6. Conclusion and perspectives in the healthcare industry . . . . .	124
8.7. Acknowledgments . . . . .	125
8.8. References . . . . .	125

<b>Chapter 9. Robotic Geriatric Assistant: A Pilot Assessment in a Real-world Hospital</b> . . . . .	129
Dimitri VOILMY, Karine LAN HING TING, Ana IGLESIAS, Rebeca MARFIL, Juan Pedro BANDERA, Fernando FERNANDEZ and Quitterie DE ROLL	
9.1. Introduction . . . . .	129
9.2. Geriatric assessment: from needs to the proposed solution . . . . .	130
9.2.1. Data management and the proposed robotic solution . . . . .	131
9.2.2. The Clara robotic geriatric assistant – research. . . . .	132
9.2.3. Hypotheses and research objectives . . . . .	134
9.3. Methodological approach: living lab approach . . . . .	134
9.3.1. Empowerment in and through interaction. . . . .	135
9.3.2. Contribution: new analytical framework . . . . .	136
9.3.3. Mixed methodological approach. . . . .	136
9.4. Pilot assessment . . . . .	137
9.4.1. Procedure and test protocol . . . . .	137
9.4.2. Results . . . . .	138
9.5. Conclusion . . . . .	140
9.6. Acknowledgments . . . . .	141
9.7. References . . . . .	141
<b>Chapter 10. Perspectives on the Patient Experience (PX) of People with Disabilities in the Digital Age: From UX to PX</b> . . . . .	145
Djilali IDOUGHI, Karim TOULOUM, Yohan GUERRIER and Christophe KOLSKI	
10.1. Introduction . . . . .	145
10.2. State-of-the-art on Patient eXperience (PX) . . . . .	146
10.3. Research methodology and proposal . . . . .	149
10.4. Illustrations relating to the “user research” phase of the methodological framework . . . . .	151
10.5. Case study: digital care journey of a patient with a disability . . . . .	153
10.6. Conclusion . . . . .	155
10.7. References . . . . .	155
<b>Part 3. Change Management and Organizational Innovations</b> . . . . .	159
<b>Summary of Contributions – Part 3</b> . . . . .	161
<b>Chapter 11. Jointly Improving the Experience of All Stakeholders in Hospital 4.0: The ICSSURP Initiative</b> . . . . .	165
Wilson GOUDALO, Christophe KOLSKI and Frédéric VANDERHAEGEN	
11.1. Introduction . . . . .	165
11.2. Digital transformation to Hospital 4.0 . . . . .	166

11.3. Essential qualities of information systems of Hospital 4.0 . . . . .	167
11.3.1. Security in information systems of Hospital 4.0 . . . . .	168
11.3.2. Usability of information systems of Hospital 4.0 . . . . .	169
11.3.3. Resilience of information systems of Hospital 4.0 . . . . .	170
11.3.4. Performance of information systems of Hospital 4.0 . . . . .	171
11.4. Towards a joint security, safety, usability, resilience and performance engineering initiative (ICSSURP) . . . . .	172
11.4.1. Advanced conceptual model of ICSSURP . . . . .	172
11.4.2. System of homogeneous metrics . . . . .	172
11.4.3. Summary of the ICSSURP initiative. . . . .	174
11.5. Conclusion and perspectives . . . . .	174
11.6. References . . . . .	175

**Chapter 12. A Tool-based Approach to Analyze Operating Room  
Schedule Execution: Application to Online Management . . . . . 179**

Leah RIFI, Franck FONTANILI and Michel JEANNEY

12.1. Introduction . . . . .	179
12.2. Methodology used to generate our approach. . . . .	181
12.2.1. Preliminary phase: from observation to the approach outline . . . . .	181
12.2.2. Phase 1: design . . . . .	181
12.2.3. Phase 2: build . . . . .	182
12.2.4. Phase 3: test . . . . .	182
12.3. Current version of the proposed tool-based approach. . . . .	183
12.3.1. Presentation of the first tool: the dashboard conceptual model . . . . .	183
12.3.2. Presentation of the second tool: the Logbook. . . . .	185
12.3.3. Description of the current version of the approach . . . . .	185
12.4. Applied example of our tool-based approach at the <i>Centre Hospitalier de Narbonne</i> . . . . .	189
12.4.1. Step 1 – collect and process the data. . . . .	189
12.4.2. Step 2 – evaluate the feasibility and optimality of the initial schedule . . . . .	190
12.4.3. Steps 3 and 4 – study the indicators and their deviations for the operating suite and the operating rooms . . . . .	190
12.4.4. Step 5 – study the indicators and their deviations at the level of the interventions . . . . .	191
12.4.5. Step 6 – determine root causes, impact on the performed schedule and responsibility for deviations. . . . .	191
12.4.6. Step 7 – evaluate the quality of the actions implemented . . . . .	192
12.4.7. Summation . . . . .	192
12.5. Conclusion and perspectives . . . . .	192
12.6. References . . . . .	193

---

**Chapter 13. Planning Patient Journeys in Outpatient Hospitals to Support the Ambulatory Shift** . . . . . 195

Virginie FORTINEAU and Lucie ROUSSEL

13.1. Introduction . . . . .	195
13.2. Background and state-of-the-art methods . . . . .	196
13.2.1. Planning patient journeys at the hospital . . . . .	196
13.2.2. 4.0 transforming the operational management of hospital flows . . . . .	197
13.2.3. Research problem . . . . .	198
13.3. State-of-the-art and field of application . . . . .	198
13.3.1. Field of application: patient flows in outpatient hospitals . . . . .	198
13.3.2. Little tactical planning for the state of the art . . . . .	199
13.3.3. Choosing a planning and workflow management method . . . . .	201
13.4. Contribution . . . . .	202
13.4.1. Macro-planning for groups of pathways: an S&Op for ambulatory medicine . . . . .	202
13.4.2. Feedback . . . . .	204
13.5. Discussion and perspectives . . . . .	205
13.5.1. Repeatability and accessibility of the macro-planning approach . . . . .	205
13.5.2. Beyond the macro-planning for groups of pathways: towards integrated planning. . . . .	205
13.6. Conclusion . . . . .	206
13.7. References . . . . .	206

**Chapter 14. Treatment Protocols Generated by Machine Learning: Putting a Case Study of Hospitalization at Home into Perspective** . . . . . 209

Alice MARTIN, Jean-Baptiste GUILLAUME, Alain GUINET and Julien FONDREVELLE

14.1. Introduction . . . . .	209
14.2. Context and perspective . . . . .	210
14.2.1. France's healthcare restructuring and the impact for HaH . . . . .	210
14.2.2. Hospitalization at home and target patients . . . . .	210
14.2.3. The positioning of hospitalization at home versus traditional medicine . . . . .	212
14.2.4. The problems facing hospitalization at home . . . . .	213
14.3. The contribution of protocolization . . . . .	214
14.3.1. A quality tool for the patient and the healthcare provider . . . . .	214
14.3.2. The interface protocol between healthcare facilities . . . . .	215
14.3.3. Protocol facing its limitations . . . . .	216
14.4. Study and proposed methodology . . . . .	216
14.4.1. Case study of the cost drivers of a hospitalization at home . . . . .	216
14.4.2. Patient trajectory forecasting and protocol generation . . . . .	219
14.5. Conclusion . . . . .	221
14.6. References . . . . .	221

<b>Chapter 15. Resilience of Healthcare Teams: Case Study of Two Cardiology Intensive Care Units</b> . . . . .	223
Racha LAMARI	
15.1. Introduction . . . . .	223
15.2. Theoretical framework . . . . .	225
15.2.1. Defining the concept of resilience within the framework of the study . . . . .	225
15.2.2. Nature of events and situations studied . . . . .	226
15.2.3. The conceptual framework of the study . . . . .	226
15.3. Research methodology . . . . .	227
15.3.1. The narrative of the event . . . . .	227
15.3.2. The data collection . . . . .	228
15.4. Research results . . . . .	229
15.4.1. Identification of stressors . . . . .	229
15.4.2. The resilience process . . . . .	231
15.5. Discussion . . . . .	238
15.6. Conclusion . . . . .	242
15.7. References . . . . .	242
<b>Conclusion and Perspectives</b> . . . . .	249
Sondès CHAABANE	
<b>Glossary</b> . . . . .	253
<b>List of Authors</b> . . . . .	259
<b>Index</b> . . . . .	263