

---

# Contents

---

<b>Acknowledgments</b> . . . . .	ix
<b>Preface</b> . . . . .	xi
<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	xiii
<b>Chapter 1. Innovation: a Story Without an End</b> . . . . .	1
1.1. The concept of managerial innovation (MI) . . . . .	2
1.1.1. Evolution up until the 1990s . . . . .	3
1.1.2. The 2000s: democratization of the concept. . . . .	4
1.1.3. The characteristics of MI. . . . .	5
1.2. MI in the company. . . . .	6
1.2.1. The Oslo Manual . . . . .	6
1.2.2. The results of the CIS 2010 survey . . . . .	7
1.2.3. Various illustrations . . . . .	9
1.3. Open innovation (OI) . . . . .	11
1.3.1. Research directions on OI . . . . .	11
1.3.2. New research perspectives on OI . . . . .	12
1.3.3. Characteristics of OI . . . . .	13
1.3.4. OI in the firm . . . . .	14
1.4. Conclusion . . . . .	15
<b>Chapter 2. Incubators and Other Accelerators: the Joys of Diversity?</b> . . . . .	17
2.1. Definition(s) and form(s) of incubators . . . . .	17
2.1.1. Definition(s) of incubation . . . . .	18
2.1.2. The different forms of incubation . . . . .	20
2.1.3. The diversity of forms of incubation in France. . . . .	22
2.1.4. The “accelerator/incubator” subtlety . . . . .	24

---

2.2. Large groups/start-ups: motivation under pressure! . . . . .	26
2.2.1. An omnipresent political world . . . . .	26
2.2.2. The context of large groups . . . . .	28
2.2.3. The context of start-ups . . . . .	29
2.3. The entrepreneurial policy of large groups . . . . .	31
2.3.1. Distrust is necessary . . . . .	32
2.3.2. A marriage of challenges . . . . .	34
2.3.3. Good heart against bad fortune, or the marriage of convenience! . . . . .	35
2.4. No research on corporate incubation . . . . .	37
2.4.1. No direct research on CI . . . . .	38
2.4.2. The emergence of a perspective . . . . .	38
2.5. Conclusion . . . . .	40
<b>Chapter 3. The Architects of Interactions: the Four Strategic Access Points . . . . .</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1. The problematization of the CI . . . . .	42
3.2. The work of “managerial curation” . . . . .	44
3.3. The work of “motivation” . . . . .	47
3.4. The work of “building identity networks” . . . . .	49
3.5. The work of “changing normative associations” . . . . .	51
3.6. Grid of strategic access points, in summary . . . . .	53
<b>Chapter 4. Topography: the Characteristics of a Structure . . . . .</b>	<b>57</b>
4.1. The characteristics of a structure . . . . .	58
4.2. Relative advantages . . . . .	61
4.3. Complexity . . . . .	64
4.3.1. Irrational complexities . . . . .	64
4.3.2. Rational complexities . . . . .	66
4.3.3. The assessment . . . . .	68
4.4. Ambiguity . . . . .	68
4.5. Conclusion . . . . .	70
<b>Chapter 5. Adaptation <i>in situ</i>: Levers for Manipulation . . . . .</b>	<b>73</b>
5.1. The role of adaptation . . . . .	73
5.2. Hard facts and soft facts . . . . .	74
5.2.1. Hard facts of the CI . . . . .	75
5.2.2. Soft facts of the CI . . . . .	76
5.3. “FCE-influencers” . . . . .	78
5.4. “FCE-products” . . . . .	80
5.5. Conclusion . . . . .	81

---

<b>Chapter 6. When Practice Becomes the Model to Follow: the Adoption of CI</b> . . . . .	83
6.1. The company microcosm: a determining role in the stage of problematization . . . . .	84
6.2. Institutional work: a group of systems and practices . . . . .	86
6.3. Translation, a driver for institutional work . . . . .	87
6.4. Adaptation or translation <i>in situ</i> . . . . .	89
6.5. Conceptual links . . . . .	89
6.6. The institutional adoption pyramid. . . . .	92
<b>Chapter 7. CI Example A: the “Boss’s Thing”</b> . . . . .	95
7.1. Corporate context of CI A . . . . .	95
7.2. CI A players . . . . .	96
7.3. The structure of CI A . . . . .	100
7.4. Tasks and missions of CI A. . . . .	102
7.5. The tools of CI A . . . . .	104
7.6. Overview of CI A . . . . .	105
<b>Chapter 8. CI Example B: Money doesn’t make you happy</b> . . . . .	109
8.1. The corporate context of CI B . . . . .	109
8.2. CI B players . . . . .	111
8.3. The structure of CI B . . . . .	114
8.4. Tasks and missions of CI B. . . . .	117
8.5. The tools of CI B . . . . .	119
8.6. Summary of CI B . . . . .	120
<b>Chapter 9. CI Example C: Reducing the Wide Gap</b> . . . . .	123
9.1. The corporate context of CI C . . . . .	123
9.2. CI C players . . . . .	125
9.3. The structure of CI C . . . . .	128
9.4. Tasks and missions of CI C. . . . .	130
9.5. The tools of CI C . . . . .	133
9.6. Summary of CI C . . . . .	133
<b>Chapter 10. CI Example D: “Two-pillar” Centralism</b> . . . . .	137
10.1. The corporate context of CI D. . . . .	137
10.2. CI D players . . . . .	139
10.3. The structure of CI D . . . . .	141
10.4. Tasks and missions of CI D . . . . .	143
10.5. The tools of CI D. . . . .	144
10.6. Summary of CI D. . . . .	145

<b>Chapter 11. CI Example E: the Art of Pivot</b> . . . . .	147
11.1. The corporate context of CI E . . . . .	147
11.2. CI E players . . . . .	149
11.3. The structure of CI E . . . . .	152
11.4. Tasks and missions of CI E . . . . .	155
11.5. The tools of CI E . . . . .	157
11.6. Summary of CI E . . . . .	157
<b>Conclusion</b> . . . . .	161
<b>References</b> . . . . .	173
<b>Index</b> . . . . .	203