
Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Preface | xv |
| Introduction | xix |
| Chapter 1. Understanding the Fundamental Nature of Information and its Processing | 1 |
| 1.1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2. Data, knowledge and information | 1 |
| 1.2.1. Data | 1 |
| 1.2.2. Knowledge | 2 |
| 1.2.3. Information | 2 |
| 1.3. Data structures | 3 |
| 1.4. Data models | 5 |
| 1.5. Qualities that make information valuable | 6 |
| 1.5.1. The intrinsic aspects of the quality of information | 7 |
| 1.5.2. The extrinsic aspects of the quality of information | 8 |
| 1.6. Improving the quality of data | 10 |
| 1.7. Uses of patient data | 10 |
| 1.8. Processing information, applications, components and processes | 11 |
| Chapter 2. A Few Questions on Information Sharing | 15 |
| 2.1. Introduction | 15 |
| 2.2. Twelve questions for better defining sharing and its objectives | 16 |
| 2.3. Organization of information sharing is a prerequisite of technological choice | 17 |
| 2.4. Summary and conclusion | 20 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Chapter 3. The Place of Healthcare Delivery Processes in Information Systems | 21 |
| 3.1. Introduction | 21 |
| 3.2. The concept of the process | 22 |
| 3.3. Modeling and the presentation of processes | 25 |
| 3.3.1. Modeling processes | 25 |
| 3.3.2. Schematic representation of processes | 27 |
| 3.3.3. Objects | 27 |
| 3.3.4. UML (Unified Modeling Language) | 28 |
| 3.4. Processes and procedures | 30 |
| 3.5. Interests and limitations of the process-based approach | 31 |
| 3.5.1. The process-based approach leads to a reassessment of the architecture of information systems and is central to the urbanization approach | 31 |
| 3.5.2. Analyzing processes improves knowledge of businesses and the needs of actors | 31 |
| 3.5.3. Process analysis helps to guide change management | 32 |
| 3.5.4. The scope of the approach determines the benefits to be expected | 32 |
| 3.6. Conclusion | 32 |
| Chapter 4. The Quality of the Urbanization of the Information System is Central to its Performance | 35 |
| 4.1. Introduction | 35 |
| 4.2. Changes to the scope of information systems must be anticipated | 37 |
| 4.2.1. The specifications of a healthcare information system must first incorporate the conditions for interoperability with other healthcare systems while providing for the development of their perimeters | 37 |
| 4.2.2. Semantic consistency must be sought between information systems from the design stage onwards | 38 |
| 4.2.3. The qualities required to develop reliable and scalable systems | 39 |
| 4.2.4. Examples of application services | 41 |
| 4.2.5. The HISA model and ISO standard 12967 | 42 |
| 4.3. The dimensions of interoperability | 43 |
| 4.3.1. The infrastructure level | 44 |
| 4.3.2. The application level | 45 |
| 4.4. Interoperability is central to the development of practices | 48 |
| 4.4.1. In an information system, interoperability facilitates communication between professionals, improves the reliability of the system and reduces costs | 49 |
| 4.4.2. Semantic interoperability between data and knowledge must make it possible to improve care | 49 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 4.4.3. Interoperability facilitates the development of tools for decision-making analyses and managing establishments | 50 |
| 4.5. The shared reference terminology of information systems | 50 |
| 4.5.1. Defining a reference terminology – the backbone of the information system | 50 |
| 4.5.2. Examples of shared reference terminologies | 51 |
| 4.5.3. The specifications of an information system must comply with a national interoperability framework based on international standards | 52 |
| 4.5.4. Accessing knowledge and good practice guidelines applicable to a particular patient in a care process is a challenge that must be met | 52 |
| 4.6. Conclusion | 55 |
| Chapter 5. Reference Terminologies in Healthcare Information Systems . | 57 |
| 5.1. Introduction | 57 |
| 5.2. The management of reference terminologies must comply with the rules of best practice | 58 |
| 5.2.1. The general problem of semantic integrity | 58 |
| 5.2.2. The semantic integrity of data must be ensured over time | 59 |
| 5.2.3. Defining a semantic steering structure for a healthcare information system would be of the utmost usefulness | 60 |
| 5.2.4. The main reference terminologies in healthcare information systems | 60 |
| 5.3. Specialized reference terminologies | 62 |
| 5.3.1. Structure identification reference terminologies | 62 |
| 5.3.2. The reference terminology for professionals and human resources | 63 |
| 5.3.3. Patient identification directories | 63 |
| 5.3.4. Diagnostic reference terminologies | 64 |
| 5.3.5. Reference terminologies for procedures | 65 |
| 5.3.6. Medication reference terminologies | 67 |
| 5.4. General purpose reference terminologies | 68 |
| 5.4.1. MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) | 68 |
| 5.4.2. SNOMED CT (Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine – Clinical Terminology) | 69 |
| 5.4.3. UMLS (Unified Medical Language System) | 70 |
| 5.5. Implementing reference terminologies in the context of urbanizing information systems | 71 |
| 5.5.1. Reference terminology servers outside the information system | 71 |
| 5.5.2. Reference terminology management services | 71 |
| 5.5.3. IHE (Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise) | 74 |
| 5.6. Conclusion | 74 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Chapter 6. Patient Identification in Healthcare Information Systems | 75 |
| 6.1. Introduction | 75 |
| 6.2. Basic concepts in patient identification | 77 |
| 6.2.1. Patient-based information systems and subsystems | 77 |
| 6.2.2. Identification traits are central to the creation of identifiers. | 78 |
| 6.2.3. The criteria used to create a unique identifier determine its quality | 80 |
| 6.3. Establishing a unique, common and universal identifying number would be ideal | 81 |
| 6.3.1. Cultural and societal differences make the systematic, secure and universal identification of individuals difficult | 81 |
| 6.3.2. The importance of logistical and organizational constraints must not be underestimated | 82 |
| 6.3.3. Failures of completeness or interoperability are decisive | 83 |
| 6.4. The proposed solutions focus on a simple identification model and an efficient and reliable matching of identities | 84 |
| 6.4.1. Traits and the identification model | 84 |
| 6.4.2. Technological and/or biological signatures have been proposed to identify individuals | 86 |
| 6.4.3. Depending on the circumstances, national numbers and identifiers may be usable identifiers | 87 |
| 6.4.4. Data linkage can be carried out anonymously | 87 |
| 6.4.5. Reconciling identifiers | 88 |
| 6.5. The de-identification of data | 89 |
| 6.5.1. Heuristic methods | 89 |
| 6.5.2. Statistical methods | 90 |
| 6.5.3. Encryption tools | 90 |
| Chapter 7. Information System Security and Data Protection | 91 |
| 7.1. Introduction: the need for security | 91 |
| 7.2. Security policies as protection against threats to information systems | 92 |
| 7.2.1. Data integrity | 93 |
| 7.2.2. Confidentiality | 94 |
| 7.3. Risk assessment and choosing the measures to be taken | 98 |
| 7.3.1. Organizations that are sources of vulnerability | 98 |
| 7.3.2. External vulnerabilities | 99 |
| 7.4. Protecting personal data | 100 |
| 7.5. Conclusion | 101 |
| Chapter 8. Knowledge Management and Medical Decision Support | 103 |
| 8.1. Introduction | 103 |
| 8.2. A brief historical overview and the lessons learned | 104 |
| 8.3. Intelligent systems | 107 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 8.3.1. Decision-making assistance at LDS Hospital in Salt Lake City (UT, USA) | 107 |
| 8.3.2. Tools and results at LDS Hospital | 107 |
| 8.3.3. The example of Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston (MA, USA) | 109 |
| 8.4. Evidence-based medicine: from literature to clinical action | 110 |
| 8.4.1. Best-practice clinical guidelines | 111 |
| 8.4.2. The methods of computerizing guidelines | 111 |
| 8.4.3. Knowledge engineering for guidelines | 112 |
| 8.5. Standards for representing knowledge are essential to integrating best-practice guidelines into care processes | 119 |
| 8.6. Conclusion | 120 |
| Chapter 9. Managing and Integrating Clinical Data: Health Records | 121 |
| 9.1. Introduction | 121 |
| 9.2. A wealth of terminology to refer to patient data and how it is managed | 123 |
| 9.3. Access to patient data is essential to improving the care process | 124 |
| 9.4. The <i>a priori</i> structuring of records has kept methodologists and healthcare professionals busy for 40 years | 126 |
| 9.4.1. Source-oriented organization | 127 |
| 9.4.2. Problem-oriented organization | 127 |
| 9.5. Data description, use of reference terminologies and professional access | 128 |
| 9.6. The interoperability of data and knowledge in care processes is central to designing health records | 129 |
| 9.7. The characteristics of new generations of health record management systems | 130 |
| 9.7.1. Characteristics and functional developments | 130 |
| 9.7.2. Characteristics and industrial developments | 133 |
| 9.8. The Continuity of Care Maturity Model | 135 |
| 9.9. Conclusion | 136 |
| Chapter 10. Managing and Integrating Laboratory Data and Functional Investigations | 137 |
| 10.1. Introduction | 137 |
| 10.2. The laboratory information system (LIS) | 138 |
| 10.3. Development of the regulatory context for biology laboratories | 140 |
| 10.4. Having a reference terminology for biological procedures is central to designing LIS | 141 |

x **Health Data Processing**

| | |
|--|------------|
| 10.5. Have a knowledge base (engine for prescription rules, act protocols, etc.) | 143 |
| 10.6. Integrating biological data in patient records | 143 |
| Chapter 11. Managing and Integrating Medical Images | 145 |
| 11.1. Introduction | 145 |
| 11.2. The picture archiving and communication system (PACS) | 146 |
| 11.3. Managing medical images | 147 |
| 11.4. The mutualization of image management and the functions of PACS. | 149 |
| 11.4.1. Why share a PACS? | 150 |
| 11.4.2. How should a shared PACS project be carried out? | 151 |
| 11.4.3. Initiatives in different countries | 152 |
| Chapter 12. Managing and Integrating Telemedicine and Telehealth | 153 |
| 12.1. Introduction | 153 |
| 12.2. Clinical communication and telemedicine | 155 |
| 12.2.1. The challenges | 155 |
| 12.2.2. Some technical considerations | 155 |
| 12.2.3. Notable experiments in a number of countries | 156 |
| 12.2.4. The regulatory context in France. | 157 |
| 12.2.5. Telemedicine must be supported and integrated into a regional medical project | 158 |
| 12.2.6. Telemedicine must be supported within an appropriate information system. | 159 |
| 12.3. Healthcare services on the Web, e-health | 160 |
| 12.3.1. Online services can support decision-making and continuing education: The community spaces of healthcare professionals | 161 |
| 12.3.2. Patients can access healthcare information on the Web | 161 |
| 12.3.3. The communication of information benefits from rapid dissemination | 161 |
| 12.3.4. New health-related services are emerging on the Web. | 162 |
| 12.4. Regional telehealth platforms | 162 |
| 12.5. Connected health tools | 163 |
| 12.5.1. The Internet of Things | 163 |
| 12.5.2. The standardization of the emerging field of e-health: the ONS 2.0 standard for managing the Internet of Things. | 165 |
| 12.6. Conclusion | 167 |
| Chapter 13. Integrating Extra-hospital Care Data | 169 |
| 13.1. Introduction | 169 |
| 13.2. Highlights from the USA | 171 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 13.2.1. Contrasting results now correspond to the meaningful use (MU) strategy implemented. | 171 |
| 13.2.2. Statewide health information network (SHIN) | 171 |
| 13.3. Some initiatives and programs in France. | 172 |
| 13.3.1. The DMP and the interoperability framework | 172 |
| 13.3.2. The <i>dossier pharmaceutique</i> (pharmaceutical record: DP) | 174 |
| 13.3.3. The oncology record | 175 |
| 13.3.4. Secure health messaging system: MSSanté | 176 |
| 13.3.5. Integrating applications and connected objects, mobile health (m-health) | 176 |
| 13.4. Conclusion | 178 |
| Chapter 14. Reusing Data in Healthcare | 179 |
| 14.1. Introduction | 179 |
| 14.2. Making healthcare data usable in order to be useful. | 181 |
| 14.3. A standard, comprehensible, interoperable data model | 183 |
| 14.4. Means of integrating data | 184 |
| 14.4.1. The organization of healthcare data sharing. | 184 |
| 14.4.2. Ontologies: an approach to semantic data integration | 185 |
| 14.5. Reuse of big data, connected objects, social networks | 185 |
| 14.6. Conclusion | 187 |
| Chapter 15. Integrating Data for Management and Decision Analysis | 189 |
| 15.1. Introduction | 189 |
| 15.2. The processing chain of decision-making analysis | 191 |
| 15.3. Building data warehouses | 191 |
| 15.4. The dashboard of an establishment or an institution | 193 |
| 15.5. Hospital financing and its national management in France. | 194 |
| 15.6. Other examples of indicators for other kinds of management: international experiences in regulating quality and efficiency | 195 |
| 15.6.1. The Joint Commission initiative and the Top Performer on Key Quality Measures® program in the USA. | 195 |
| 15.6.2. Meaningful use (MU) indicators | 196 |
| 15.7. Conclusion | 196 |
| Chapter 16. Data for Epidemiology and Public Health, and Big Data | 197 |
| 16.1. Introduction | 197 |
| 16.2. Multi-source monitoring systems | 198 |
| 16.2.1. Monitoring devices | 198 |
| 16.2.2. The French <i>Système de Surveillance Sanitaire des Urgences et des Décès</i> (healthcare monitoring system for emergencies and deaths: SurSaUD). | 199 |
| 16.3. The challenges and opportunities of big data for public health. | 200 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 16.3.1. Big data call into question the traditional principles of data processing | 200 |
| 16.3.2. Big data pave the way for tailor-made medicine | 203 |
| 16.3.3. Improving knowledge and development. | 203 |
| 16.3.4. Processing more data to accelerate research and development. | 204 |
| 16.3.5. Reducing healthcare expenditure. | 204 |
| 16.4. Epidemiology and big data | 204 |
| 16.5. Multiple and heterogeneous data sources | 205 |
| 16.6. The contribution of big data and e-health to prevention, monitoring and health vigilance | 205 |
| 16.6.1. Monitoring and vigilance | 205 |
| 16.6.2. The contribution of big data is heralding a significant change for researchers in epidemiology and public health | 207 |
| 16.7. The heterogeneity of data, a feature of big data, underlines the importance of interoperability standards | 208 |
| 16.7.1. Owing to the fragmented adoption of terminological standards, much of these data remain unusable in isolated computer systems | 208 |
| 16.7.2. Data-sharing initiatives for research purposes | 209 |
| 16.8. Conclusion | 212 |
| Chapter 17. Integrating Bioinformatics Data | 213 |
| 17.1. Introduction | 213 |
| 17.2. The importance of integrating databases in bioinformatics. | 216 |
| 17.2.1. Data integration and workflows | 216 |
| 17.2.2. Web services in bioinformatics. | 217 |
| 17.2.3. Research platforms | 218 |
| 17.3. Ontologies and gene annotation. | 219 |
| 17.3.1. Ontologies | 219 |
| 17.3.2. Annotation | 220 |
| 17.4. The Gene Ontology (GO) consortium | 220 |
| 17.5. Conclusion | 222 |
| Chapter 18. Clinical Research Data | 223 |
| 18.1. Introduction | 223 |
| 18.2. The clinical research (CR) situation | 224 |
| 18.3. The worlds of care activity data and clinical research data are different | 226 |
| 18.3.1. Clinical activity has its own processes and limitations in information processing. | 226 |
| 18.3.2. The specific limitations of clinical research and its information systems | 226 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 18.3.3. The recruitment and identification of patients follows explicit and intangible criteria | 227 |
| 18.3.4. The legislative framework of clinical research | 227 |
| 18.3.5. Control of data quality | 228 |
| 18.3.6. The interoperability challenges for these information systems | 228 |
| 18.4. The tools and methods that contribute to a synergistic approach. | 230 |
| 18.4.1. Some tools are already implemented in the most advanced information systems | 230 |
| 18.4.2. Initiatives for sharing data for research | 230 |
| 18.4.3. Clinical research standards: the CDISC modeling approach. | 231 |
| 18.4.4. The electronic source record | 231 |
| 18.4.5. BRIDG: Biomedical Research Information Domain Group | 233 |
| 18.4.6. Quality and credibility of data | 234 |
| 18.5. Information system initiatives and tools in clinical research | 234 |
| 18.5.1. Informatics for integrating biology and the bedside (i2b2) | 234 |
| 18.5.2. The creation of clinical research platforms | 235 |
| 18.5.3. The example of the Multi-Regional Clinical Trials (MRCT) of the Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard. | 237 |
| 18.6. Conclusion | 237 |
| Chapter 19. Evaluating Information Systems | 239 |
| 19.1. Introduction | 239 |
| 19.2. "Pre-implementation" evaluation of an information system | 240 |
| 19.3. Evaluation of "post-implementation" information systems | 242 |
| 19.3.1. Performance evaluation: a complex area of investigation | 243 |
| 19.3.2. Evaluating the success of an information system | 246 |
| 19.3.3. Other approaches to evaluation, other indicators | 247 |
| 19.4. Asset value and use value of information systems | 249 |
| 19.5. Case studies | 249 |
| 19.5.1. Connected objects | 250 |
| 19.5.2. An evaluation on the return on investment at Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston | 250 |
| 19.5.3. The investment decision made by Kaiser Permanente | 250 |
| 19.6. Some lessons | 250 |
| Chapter 20. The Governance of Healthcare Information Systems, the Hospital, Outpatient and Industrial Contexts | 253 |
| 20.1. Introduction | 253 |
| 20.2. Meaningful use, a tool of governance in the USA | 256 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 20.2.1. The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH) | 256 |
| 20.2.2. Meaningful Use (MU), the use of electronic patient records | 256 |
| 20.3. Emerging governance of healthcare information systems in France | 258 |
| 20.4. A rapid change in hospital and outpatient information systems, as well as their governance, is needed | 260 |
| 20.5. An extra-hospital offer overly focused on professions with little integration of regional organization | 263 |
| 20.6. Industrial offer | 265 |
| 20.7. Discussion | 267 |
| 20.7.1. Data, a strategic asset | 267 |
| 20.7.2. Developing and mobilizing skills is a major imperative | 267 |
| 20.7.3. Towards a common urbanization framework | 268 |
| 20.7.4. Governance | 268 |
| Appendices | 269 |
| Appendix 1. Management of Selected International Terminologies | 271 |
| Appendix 2. Further Information on DICOM Standards | 275 |
| Appendix 3. Further Information on the <i>système national des données de santé</i> (National Healthcare Data System – SNDS) in France | 277 |
| Appendix 4. Metadata | 279 |
| Appendix 5. Clinical Observation Specifications | 285 |
| Appendix 6. Ontologies | 287 |
| Appendix 7. Document Banks – Medication Data | 291 |
| Appendix 8. Hosting Health Data in France | 293 |
| Appendix 9. Developing an Information System Master Plan | 295 |
| Bibliography | 299 |
| Index | 307 |