

---

## Contents

---

<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	x <sup>i</sup>
<b>Part 1. The Operational Reality of Co-design in LLSAs</b> . . . . .	1
<b>Introduction to Part 1</b> . . . . .	3
<b>Chapter 1. The Living Lab: A Reality Belonging to a Collective History</b> . . . . .	5
Jean-Paul DEPARTE, Pierre MÉRIGAUD	
1.1. What is a Living Lab for healthcare and independent living? . . . . .	5
1.2. Incubation: establishing the LL as a collaborative initiative . . . . .	6
1.3. The LL as a sustainable crucible for approaches to co-design . . . . .	8
1.4. Governing a Living Lab and the associated challenges . . . . .	9
1.5. The economic model of an LL. . . . .	10
1.6. Managing competencies in a Living Lab . . . . .	10
1.7. The Living Lab as a space for learning interdisciplinarity . . . . .	11
<b>Chapter 2. Running Co-design: Collaborative Projects and Co-design Sessions</b> . . . . .	13
Barbara BAY, Samuel BENVENISTE, Agnès CAILLETTE-BEAUDOIN, Pauline COIGNARD, Hélène DUCHE, Alexandre DUCLOS, Gilles GAMBIN, Marie-Pierre JANALHIAC, Valérie MICHEL-PELLEGRINO, Arnault THOURET	
2.1. Support for innovation projects . . . . .	13
2.2. Co-design: organization, running, iteration of sessions . . . . .	14
2.3. The benefit of acculturation: the example of CEN Stimco's "Live my Life" . . . . .	18

<b>Chapter 3. Problems and Methods of Involving Users in Co-development Projects . . . . .</b>	<b>21</b>
Samuel BENVENISTE, Myriam LEWKOWICZ, Stéphane SOYEZ	
3.1. The challenges and difficulties of involving users: an international perspective . . . . .	21
3.2. Involving professionals are future users . . . . .	22
3.3. Motivating non-expert users . . . . .	22
3.4. Means of involving non-expert users . . . . .	23
3.5. Methods of presenting objects to future users . . . . .	25
3.6. “Discovery” approaches aimed at the public: type, challenges and relationships with Living Labs . . . . .	25
<b>Chapter 4. Involving Industrialists . . . . .</b>	<b>29</b>
Gérald COMTET, Jean-Marie MOUREAUX	
4.1. Taking the market risks associated with classic project management . . . . .	29
4.2. Motivating the “industrial fabric” and LLSAs: shared interests or interest sharing? . . . . .	31
4.3. The Living Lab as “provider”: what “market” is there for Living Labs? . . . . .	35
4.4. Tensions, conflicts and balance between stakeholders in co-design . . . . .	36
<b>Part 2. Challenges of Co-design and Independent Living . . . . .</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Introduction to Part 2 . . . . .</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>Chapter 5. Co-design: Methodologies for Investigating, Developing and Sharing Knowledge in View of New Solutions for Future Use . . . . .</b>	<b>43</b>
Barbara BAY, Samuel BENVENISTE, Marie-Catherine BEUSCART-ZEPHIR, Alexandre DUCLOS, Marie-Pierre JANALHIAC, Guillaume JÉGOU, Myriam LEWKOWICZ, Hervé PINGAUD, Nadine VIGOUROUX	
5.1. Codevelopment, co-design: what are we talking about? . . . . .	43
5.2. Sciences, techniques, methods and co-design tools . . . . .	46
5.2.1. The human sciences in co-design: ergonomics, anthropology/ ethnography and sociology . . . . .	46
5.2.2. Design thinking in Living Labs. . . . .	55
5.2.3. Techniques and tools . . . . .	57

---

5.3. Using scenarios: principles and feedback . . . . .	59
5.3.1. Principles . . . . .	59
5.3.2. Scenarios involving medical cooperation: Prométée (reappropriation experiment from preestablished scenarios reported by J.M. Moureaux) . . . . .	60
5.3.3. Involving the patient in writing scenarios: feedback on the experiment . . . . .	61
5.3.4. Providing solutions for serious disabilities: the limits of research, Palliacom (experience reported by M. Abraham, Télécom Bretagne and N. Vigouroux, IRIT) . . . . .	63
5.4. Mastering the technologies . . . . .	65
5.4.1. Living Labs and research . . . . .	66
5.4.2. Discussion: interdisciplinarity . . . . .	68
<b>Chapter 6. Co-design and Health Technology Assessment: Controlling and Establishing Reproducibility . . . . .</b>	<b>71</b>
Marie-Catherine BEUSCART-ZEPHIR, Myriam LEWKOWICZ, Jean-Marie MOUREAUX, Nadine VIGOUROUX	
6.1. Assessment approaches . . . . .	72
6.1.1. Relationships between assessment and co-design methods . . . . .	72
6.1.2. Iterative evaluation in practice: ergonomic approach . . . . .	73
6.1.3. From iterative assessment to measuring the effects produced . . . . .	74
6.1.4. Assessment when co-designing solutions for healthcare versus medico-economic assessment . . . . .	74
6.1.5. Adaptive and continuous measurement of a solution's global impact . . . . .	76
6.2. Measurement: from qualitative to quantitative . . . . .	77
6.2.1. The metric for successful interaction linked to an ergonomic approach: experience provided by N. Vigouroux (IRIT) . .	78
6.2.2. Quantifying the subjective in a professional context . . . . .	79
<b>Chapter 7. The Purposes and Reality of Collective Appropriation of Social Innovation . . . . .</b>	<b>81</b>
Barbara BAY, Pauline COIGNARD, Hélène DUCHE, Elisabeth GARAT, Cédric ROUTIER, Stéphane SOYEZ	
7.1. Landmarks . . . . .	81
7.2. Feedback . . . . .	83
7.2.1. Graines de Pays (E. Garat, president of the association) . . . . .	83

7.2.2. Humanicité (S. Soyez, director of the Ateliers d'Humanicité . . . . .	86
7.2.3. La Fabrique de l'hospitalité, CHRU de Strasbourg (Regional University Hospital Centre) . . . . .	89
<b>Part 3. Diverse Perspectives on Co-design</b> . . . . .	91
<b>Introduction to Part 3</b> . . . . .	93
<b>Chapter 8. Critique of the Living Lab Approach</b> . . . . .	95
Gregory AIGUIER, Valentin BERTHOU, Gérard DUBEY, Gérald GAGLIO, Gilles GAMBIN, Alain LOUTE, Stéphane SOYEZ	
8.1. Interplay between players . . . . .	95
8.1.1. Relationships with industrial players (G. Gaglio) . . . . .	95
8.1.2. Innovation in LLSAs: open? (V. Berthou) . . . . .	95
8.1.3. Co-design: who, why? (L.E. Dubois) . . . . .	97
8.2. The Living Lab and organizational/social changes. . . . .	97
8.2.1. The Living Lab approach as social participation (A. Loute) . . . . .	97
8.2.2. The concept of governance (A. Loute, V. Berthou) . . . . .	98
8.3. Conclusion . . . . .	100
8.4. Bibliography . . . . .	101
<b>Chapter 9. Co-design Methods</b> . . . . .	103
Agnès CAILLETTE-BEAUDOIN, Marie-Ange COTTERET, Gérard DUBEY, Gérald GAGLIO, Gilles GAMBIN, Hamza IBA, Stéphane SOYEZ	
9.1. Expansion and tensions. . . . .	103
9.1.1. Heterogeneity of methods within LLs (G. Gaglio) . . . . .	103
9.1.2. Interdisciplinarity (M. Lewkowicz) . . . . .	104
9.1.3. The ethnographic and ergonomic approaches (M.C. Beuscart-Zéphir, M. Lewkowicz) . . . . .	105
9.2. Elements of progress . . . . .	108
9.2.1. Scenario method (M. Lewkowicz, V. Berthou) . . . . .	108
9.2.2. Co-design and assessment (G. Gaglio, M.C. Beuscart-Zéphir) . . . . .	109
9.2.3. The question of measurement (M.A. Cotteret) . . . . .	113
9.3. Bibliography . . . . .	115
<b>Chapter 10. Living Lab Approach and Learning</b> . . . . .	117
Mathias BÉJEAN, Agnès CAILLETTE-BEAUDOIN, Gérard GAGLIO, Hamza IBA, Myriam LEWKOWICZ, Jean-Claude MOISDON	
10.1. Deployment, appropriation, domestication (M. Lewkowicz, I. Hamza) . . . . .	117

---

10.2. Learning from co-design (G. Gaglio) . . . . .	118
10.3. Similarities, differences and complementarities between the LL approach and intervention research (M. Béjean, J.-C. Moisdon) . . . . .	119
10.4. Bibliography . . . . .	120
<b>Chapter 11. Other Views . . . . .</b>	<b>123</b>
Gregory AIGUIER, Karima BOURQUARD, Louis-Etienne DUBOIS, Guillaume JÉGOU, Véronique LESPINET-NAJIB, Myriam LEWKOWICZ, Alain LOUTE, Hervé PINGAUD	
11.1. Co-design (L.E. Dubois) . . . . .	123
11.2. Interdisciplinarity (M. Lewkowicz) . . . . .	125
11.3. From interdisciplinarity to transdisciplinarity (V. Lespinet-Najib) . . . . .	126
11.4. From user-centered design to universal design (V. Lespinet-Najib) . . . . .	127
11.5. Participative design in the Living Lab and the usefulness of scenarios (M. Lewkowicz) . . . . .	129
11.6. The Living Lab approach as social participation (A. Loute, G. Aiguier) . . . . .	130
11.7. The concept of governance (A. Loute, G. Aiguier) . . . . .	131
11.8. Three themes for consideration taken from engineering (H. Pingaud) . . . . .	132
11.8.1. Theme no. 1: the dynamic and range of the network effect . . . . .	132
11.8.2. Theme no. 2: an identity that asserts itself in a complex environment . . . . .	133
11.8.3. Theme no. 3: market or progress? . . . . .	133
11.9. The potential of new capture technologies for instrumented usage observation (G. Jégou) . . . . .	134
11.10. The concept of the test bed (K. Bourquard) . . . . .	136
11.11. Bibliography . . . . .	138
<b>Part 4. The LLSAs' and the Forum's Value Added . . . . .</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>Introduction to Part 4 . . . . .</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>Chapter 12. LLSA Solutions . . . . .</b>	<b>147</b>
Robert PICARD	
12.1. Stakes and challenges of a shared representation of LLSA solutions . . . . .	147
12.2. The LLSAs' generic offer . . . . .	149

---

12.2.1. The four phases of Living Labs' offer . . . . .	149
12.2.2. The special case of "derivative products" . . . . .	153
<b>Chapter 13. LLSA Typologies . . . . .</b>	<b>155</b>
Robert PICARD	
13.1. Typology characterizing market orientation (supply vs. demand) . . . . .	155
13.1.1. "Technological" LL . . . . .	155
13.1.2. LL "market access" . . . . .	155
13.1.3. Identifying types . . . . .	156
13.2. Typology characterizing competencies and methods . . . . .	156
13.2.1. The "medical" Living Labs . . . . .	158
13.2.2. "Disability" Living Labs . . . . .	158
13.2.3. "Participation" Living Labs . . . . .	159
13.2.4. Identifying types . . . . .	159
<b>Conclusion . . . . .</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>Appendix . . . . .</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>Glossary . . . . .</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>General Bibliography . . . . .</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>List of Authors . . . . .</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>187</b>